ABBREVIATIONS

| BMMU | Block Mission Management Unit |
|---------|--|
| СВ | Capacity Building |
| CBO | Community Based Organization |
| CC | Cluster Co-ordinator |
| CEO | Chief Executive Officer |
| CIF | Community Investment Fund |
| COM | Community Operational Manual |
| CRP | Community Resource Person |
| DDU-GKY | Deendayal Upadhyaay Gramin Kaushalya Yojana |
| DPM | District Programme Manager |
| DMMU | District Mission Management Unit |
| DRDA | District Rural Development Agency |
| EC | Executive Committee |
| GoI | Government of India |
| HR | Human Resources |
| HSRLM | Haryana State Rural Livelihoods Mission |
| M&E | Monitoring and Evaluation |
| MIS | Management Information System |
| MoRD | Ministry of Rural Development |
| MOU | Memorandum of Understanding |
| NABARD | National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development |
| NGO | Non-Governmental Organization |
| NIRD | National Institute of Rural Development |
| NMMU | National Mission Management Unit |
| NRLM | National Rural Livelihoods Mission |
| SPIP | State Project Implementation Plan |
| RUDSETI | Rural Development and Self Employment Training Institute |
| SC | Scheduled Castes |
| SGSY | Swarnjayanti Gram Swarozgar Yojana |
| SHG | Self Help Group |
| SMMU | State Mission Management Unit |

Chapter - 1

INTRODUCTION

NRLM was launched in Haryana on 17.05.2013. Initially the activities were undertaken in 4 blocks namely Tauru, Bawani Khera, Matanhail and Siwan in Mewat, Bhiwani, Jhajjar and Kaithal Districts respectively. Resource block strategy was adopted for implementation of NRLM. In 2014-15, the activities were extended in other 5 districts i.e. Rohtak, Karnal, Hisar, Fatehabad and Panchkula. In all there were 9 resource blocks and 13 intensive blocks where the implementation was planned to be undertaken. Out of 13 intensive blocks, activities were initiated in 11 blocks only. In the year 2015-16, 1 more district namely Jind was included in the intensive district and Uchana block was included in the intensive blocks. As such 22 blocks were covered under intensive strategy upto 2015-16.

1. STATE PROFILE:

| S.no. | Particulars | Census- 2011 |
|-------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Number of Districts | 21 |
| 2 | Number of Blocks | 126 |
| 3 | No. of Gram Panchayats | 6255 |
| 4 | No. of villages | 6841 |
| 5 | Total Population | |
| | Persons | 2,53,53,081 |
| | Males | 1,35,05,130 |
| | Females | 1,18,47,951 |
| 7 | Sex Ratio | 877 |
| 9 | Literacy Rate (%) | 76.64 |
| | | Male- 85.38 |
| | | Female- 66.77 |
| | | |
| 10 | AS PER SECC – 2011 DATA | |

| Total Number of Households Rural | 29,69,509 |
|--|-------------|
| Rural Population | 1,60,35,620 |
| Number of households automatically included (Rural) | 11,89,555 |
| Households with only one room with kucha walls and kucha roof (Rural) | 1,00,740 |
| Households with no adult member between age 18 to 59 (Rural) | 56,001 |
| Female headed households with no adult male member between age 18 to 59 (Rural) | 51,546 |
| Households with disabled member and no able bodied adult member (Rural) | 5,668 |
| SC/ST households (Rural) | 4,67,018 |
| Households with no literate adult above 25 years (Rural) | 4,95,278 |
| Landless households deriving major part of their income from manual casual labour (Rural). | 8,04,538 |
| | |

Upto January, 2016, HSRLM have entered into 642 villages in 10 Intensive districts. The implementation of NRLM in remaining 6199 villages has been phased out and the activities will be undertaken in all villages upto 2020. Financial year 2016-17 will be the year of expanding and deepening of NRLM activities, convergence with other rural development schemes and communitisation etc.

CHAPTER- 2 Phasing plan

a. NRLM foot print achieved - No. of Intensive Districts, Resource Blocks, Intensive Blocks, partnership blocks and villages.

Upto year 2014-15, 9 districts namely- Bhiwani, Mewat, Kaithal, Jhajjar, Karnal, Hisar, Rohtak, Fatehabad and Panchkula were taken up under resource/intensive strategy. In these districts, out of 22 blocks, 9 blocks were selected where the Resource Block Strategy is being implemented with the help of NROs (SERP, Hyderabad and RGMVP, Raebareli). As such 22 blocks were planned for intensive strategy including 9 resource blocks. As on 31st January, 2016, the position is summarized as under:

| Intensive districts | 10 |
|---------------------|---|
| Resource blocks | 9 |
| Intensive blocks | 13 |
| Activities started | 9 resource blocks & 13 intensive blocks |
| Villages entered | 642 |
| Partnership block | 1 |

b. <u>Districts</u>, <u>blocks</u> and <u>villages</u> <u>planned</u> and <u>initiated</u> <u>during</u> <u>financial year 2015-16</u>.

During the year 2015-16, 1 district namely Jind was included in the intensive district and Uchana block was selected to implement intensive strategy. In addition to this, Kalyat block in Kaithal district was also included in the intensive blocks. As such, till January, 2016, 10 districts were intensive district and 22 were resource/intensive blocks in the state.

c. <u>Districts</u>, <u>blocks</u> and <u>villages</u> <u>proposed to be covered during financial year 2016-17 and in the following phases</u>.

It is planned to expand the program to all districts, covering at least one block in each district and nearly 5 GPs in each of the remaining blocks in the year 2016-17. The detail of the same is given in Chapter-4.

d. Saturation plan for the blocks

It is proposed to achieve saturation in the year 2016-17 in all the 22 resource/intensive blocks taken up in 10 districts upto 2015-16. In the other 25 blocks, saturation will be achieved sooner in the subsequent year(s) while in the remaining 78 blocks initial footprints would be laid under NRLM in 2016-17.

Chapter - 3

Review of progress of AAP 2015-16

a. Physical progress in key areas of implementation

During 2015-16, the various activities were planned to be implemented under NRLM as per the AAP prepared for the purpose. The progress under the key activities is given as under:-

| Sr. | Key Activity | Target | Achievements | Ach. expected |
|-----|------------------------|--------|---------------|---------------|
| No. | | | upto Jan 2016 | upto Mar.16 |
| 1. | Formation of SHGs | 900 | 922 | 950 |
| 2. | Formation of village | 90 | 74 | 75 |
| | organizations | | | |
| 3. | Formation of CLFs/BLFs | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| 4. | Bank Linkage of SHGs: | | | |
| | -No. of SHGs | 2000 | 1969 | 2200 |
| | -Amount (Rs. Lakhs) | 1000 | 1589 | 1800 |
| 5. | RF provided to SHGs : | | | |
| | -No. of SHGs | 730 | 507 | 750 |
| | -Amount (Rs. Lakhs) | 109.51 | 76.01 | 120 |
| 6. | CIF provided to SHGs: | | | |
| | -No. of SHGs | 150 | 258 | 260 |
| | -Amount (Rs. Lakhs) | 60 | 128.10 | 130 |
| | | | | |

It may be observed from above that the progress under the key areas was upto the expected level. However, HSRLM was not able to provide full assistance under RF to SHGs as per the guidelines of NRLM due to shortage of funds

Under livelihoods intervention, 4 blocks namely Siwan in district Kaithal, Bawanikhera in district Bhiwani, Matanhail in district Jhajjar and Narnaund indistrict Hisar were taken up under Mahila Kisan Sashaktikaran Pariyojana (MKSP). Four agricultural graduates were posted in these blocks to spearhead the activities under agriculture and livestock sector. However, in the year 2015-16, only activities relating to agriculture sector were taken up. To implement the sustainable agriculture concept under MKSP, the resource' support from SERP Hyderabad was procured. Two rounds of CRPs were completed and non-pesticide based farm management activities were initiated in the selected blocks. However, further rounds were not taken up due to non sanction of the plan and

release of funds to the state. Now the plan has been re-submitted to MoRD, Government of India after requisite modifications as suggested for their consideration and approval.

During 2015-16, AAP for Start-up Village Enterprise Program (SVEP) was prepared for 2 blocks namely Tauru in Mewat district and Bawani khera in Bhiwani district. The AAP was approved by MoRD, Government of India. It was advised to initiate the process to undertake baseline survey, benchmarking and market potential to assess the availability of the enterprises as well as the future potential in the selected blocks. HSRLM has selected Entrepreneurship Development Institute (EDI), Ahmedabad as resource organization to implement SVEP in these 2 blocks. MoRD, Government of India has already released Rs.60 Lakh to undertake these activities and Rs.52 Lakh has been released to the NRO. It is expected that NRO will take up the activities to start the program in the selected blocks. HSRLM has nominated Nodal Officer at the state level and the block level. DPM will also support in implementation of SVEP. The NRO has since taken up the activities in these blocks. The task of conducting baseline survey, benchmarking and market potential survey has since been completed.

b. **Component wise financial progress.**

The details of financial progress is shown in Table- 3. It may be observed that HSRLM has made expenditure under various components to the extent of Rs.901 Lakh upto Jan, 2016. It is also estimated that HSRLM will be able to utilize the funds to the extent of 90% by the end of March, 2016.

Administrative expenditure during 2015-16 and projected for 2016-17

The administrative expenses approved under the AAP 2015-16 was Rs.195.88 Lakh. Against this, expenditure of Rs.196.00 Lakh has been made upto Jan, 2016. It is expected that administrative expenses will be Rs.200.00 Lakh upto March, 2016. It is also expected that expenditure under administration will be more than the allocation made under the plan due to the reason that the allocation of the state has been reduced than the previous year. For the year 2016-17, the administrative expenditure is planned at Rs.262.84 Lakh keeping in view, the more staff being recruited at the state and district/block level to carry out the various activities under

NRLM. The proposed manpower deployment in various districts keeping in view the category of DMMU has been contemplated as per the advisory from the MoRD. The present strength of the staff deployed in HSRLM and total staff required to implement the AAP 2016-17 thus indicating the deficit to be recruited this year has also been worked out. Both of these aspects are briefed in the following tables , A and B respectively:-

A......Proposed manpower deployment

| Category of DMMU | No. of Blocks covered in the districts | Names of districts in the category | No. of districts | Staffing pattern |
|---------------------|--|--|------------------|--|
| A | 4 or 5 | Bhiwani, Jhajjar, Kaithal Mewat and Jind | 5 | DPM-1, DFM- 2 Acctt.1, DEO-1 Office Asstt1 Total-6 |
| В | 2 or 3 | Hisar, Fatehabad, Karnal Panchkula, Rohtak | 5 | DPM-1, DFM- 1 DEO-1 Office Asstt1 Total-4 |
| С | 1 | Ambala, Yamunanagar, Sirsa Faridabad, Rewari, Palwal, Panipat, Sonipat, Gurgaon, Mahensergarh, Kurukshetyra | 11 | DPM/BPM- 1 DEO-1 Office Asstt1 Total-3 |

B....Manpower planning based on proposed deployment pattern

| Sr. | Designation | Manpower | Manpower | Deficit | Induction plan of HR | |
|-----|-----------------|----------|----------|---------|----------------------|----------|
| no. | | required | deployed | | Open Market | Internal |
| 1 | PD, SPMs & PMs | 12 | 1 | 10 | 10 | - |
| 2 | DPMs | 21 | 13 | 8 | 6 | 2 |
| 3 | DFMs | 19 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| 4 | BPMs | 43 | 29 | 14 | 10 | 4 |
| 5 | Cluster Co-ord. | 96 | 55 | 41 | 13 | 28 |
| 6 | Accountant | 12 | 9 | 3 | 3 | - |
| 7 | DEOs | 53 | 14 | 39 | 39 | - |
| 8 | Office | 58 | 20 | 38 | 10 | 28 |
| | Asstt./Peon | | | | | |
| | Total | 314 | 155 | 158 | 94 | 63 |

At DMMUs and BMMUs 30% of the deficit......from internal promotion. Cluster Co-coordinators & Office Assistant 70% of the deficit..... from internal promotion

c. <u>Key activities planned but not implemented/partially implemented</u>

The key activity planned for establishment of training centre in 4 old resource blocks during the year 2015-16 has not been carried out. Though the land/buildings for this purpose has been identified and possession taken but other infrastructure/logistic support is yet to be provided. It is not possible to complete the same in the year 2015-16. HSRLM also estimated that more amount of RF and CIF can be provided in the state during 2015-16 but the same could not be carried out due to short fall of funds.

d. <u>Internal and external constraints affecting the implementation</u> and utilization of funds Internal Audits, etc.

Full-fledged accounting units have been established at state and intensive districts. To maintain the accounting system more accurately & efficiently, HSRLM has provided Tally software in all the intensive districts. The State Unit is guiding and monitoring the maintenance of accounting system/records at the district units. The internal audit system is in place. Besides, statutory audit is being conducted by the statutory auditor appointed by HSRLM. The accounts are maintained as per the guidelines of MoRD. The Financial Management manual has been adopted as approved by EC.

e. Physical Progress in key area of implementation :

Social Mobilazation - In 2015-16, 152 villages were entered till January, 2016 and 9786 households were mobilized into 867 SHGs. For Social Mobilizatio e-CRP teams were deployed in resource blocks while i-CRPs were also used in intensive blocks.

Institution Building and Capacity Building - As at the close of January 2016, 922 SHGs and 74 VOs have been formed. All the SHGs and VOs have been provided basic training at the Village level. All SHGs have dedicated book-keepers. The Book-Keepers have also been provided training both at village level and at block level by the project staff and PRPs.

Financial Inclusion - In 2015-16, as at the close of January 2016, 1969 SHGs have been credit linked in resource/intensive blocks/ non-intensive blocks. An amount of Rs. 1589 lakh provided as credit to the SHGs.

<u>Capitalization</u> - 513 SHGs have been provided revolving fund aggregating to Rs. 76.91 lakh in the resource/intensive blocks/ non-intensive blocks. 258 SHGs have been provided CIF @ Rs. 50000/- per SHG. The amount of CIF is being revolved within the SHGs of VO. Some of the SHGs have received RF, CCL and CIF and the amount available with such SHGs is Rs. 150000/-(approx).

f. Adequacy of support from NMMU and NROs.

At present HSRLM has signed MOUs with SERP and RGMVP for providing support in the resource blocks for social mobilization. 7 blocks are supported by SERP and 2 blocks are supported by RGMVP. These resource organizations are providing adequate support of well trained CRPs/ PRPs. SERP has also supported in immersion and exposure of active women, immersion of project staff and exposure to bankers. SERP has also provided support for providing MCP training, book keepers training and VO training.

RGMVP has also given support for exposure – cum – training of active women. Now they have also provided PRPs in the resource blocks. Due to their support, HSRLM has been able to develop 140 CRPs, number of women activists working as Samooh Sevikas, book keepers etc. They also provided support to HSRLM for exposure visits/immersion of the project staff, bankers and community cadres. This has pushed the progress in the blocks and also helped in development of social capital.

NMMU support has been adequate in providing guidance in formulating various policies including manuals. Adequate support has also been provided in finalizing tools of baseline survey. The State Anchor has supported HSRLM in the implementation of NRLM in the state. He has guided HSRLM in respect of various activities at the state as well as at the ground level. He participated in the meetings arranged by HSRLM and shared his experience gained in other states for implementation of NRLM.

NMMU provided the services of 3 young professionals to HSRLM who have been placed in 3 resource blocks. They are supporting the project staff in the blocks to develop the best practices, support in training of the community cadres and provide feedback to the State Unit about the implementation of various NRLM activities in the blocks. The State Unit takes correct steps to improve the processes and guide the DMMUs.

g. Key learnings

NRLM is being implemented for the last 3 years. The key learnings of these 3 years are summarized as under:-

- The resource block strategy is very effective for social mobilization. The social mobilization through dedicated external team is a key for the success of the scheme.
- The support of Resource Organizations in developing social capital is a must.
- The training and immersion of the project staff with resource organization and in the field during social mobilization is also essential.
- Hand holding of newly formed SHGs and facilitation in their meetings is important.
- Communitization of the processes is important for the sustainability of the programme. To involve community in decision making at various levels is important.
- Capitalization of SHGs and their federations at the right time acts as triggers for their development and self reliance.
- The capacity building of SHG, VOs, CLF/ BLF is very important and this requires constant training at intervals.
- Exposure of bankers to resource organizations acts as a catalyst to push up the credit linkage.

CHAPTER-4

a. <u>Strategies and activities of intensive blocks, resource blocks and partnership blocks</u>.

During 2016-17, the packakee of NRLM activities will be undertaken as per the MoRD guidelines as under:

- our reach should expand to all districts of the state,
- -should cover at least one block in each district and
- at least 5 GPs of other blocks in the districts.

In all, 43 blocks (22 blocks planned upto 2015-16 in 10 intensive districts and 21 blocks in the remaining 11 districts) will be taken up for intensive work. In other blocks, the formation of SHGs will be restricted to 1 small cluster per block comprising of nearly 5 GPs in each such small cluster. Priority for reaching to such small clusters shall be given to the villages identified under Sansad Aadarsh Gramin Yojana (SAGY) and Vidhayak Aadarsh Gramin Yojana (VAGY). More emphasis will be laid on consolidation of the work. The SHGs formed will be strengthened and VOs will also be formed.

To extend our reach as per the MoRD guidelines as referred to above, the following expansion and deepening is planned for the year 2016-2017:-

| Sr. No. | Name of District | Total No. of Blocks | Intensive Blocks upto 2015-16 | Additional Blocks AAP 2016-17 | |
|------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Bhiwani | 10 | Bawani Khera, Bhiwani, Tosham | Siwani | |
| 2 | Jhajjar | 5 | Matanhail, Jhajjar, Beri | Sahlawas | |
| 3 | Kaithal | 6 | Siwan, Rajaund, Guhla | Kaithal | |
| 4 | Mewat | 5 | Nuh, Nagina, Tauru | Punhana | |
| 5 | Hisar | 10 | Narnaund | Hisar-I | |

| 6 | Fatehabad | 6 | Fatehabad, Jhakal | - |
|----|--------------|-----|--------------------------|---------------|
| 7 | Karnal | 6 | Gharaunda, Indri | Karnal |
| 8 | Panchkula | 4 | Pinjore, Morni | Barwala |
| 9 | Rohtak | 5 | Kalanaur, Lakhanmajra | Rohtak |
| 10 | Jind | 7 | Uchana | Safidon, Jind |
| 11 | Ambala | 6 | - | Ambala I |
| 12 | Yamunanagar | 6 | - | Jagadhri |
| 13 | Faridabad | 2 | - | Faridabad |
| 14 | Rewari | 5 | - | Rewari |
| 15 | Palwal | 5 | - | Palwal |
| 16 | Kurukshetra | 6 | - | Thanesar |
| 17 | Mahendergarh | 8 | - | Mahendergarh |
| 18 | Sonepat | 8 | - | Sonepat |
| 19 | Panipat | 5 | - | Panipat |
| 20 | Sirsa | 7 | - | Sirsa |
| 21 | Gurgaon | 4 | - | Gurgaon |
| | Total | 126 | 22 | 21 |

In these blocks the deepening activities will be taken up involving the NRLM package of social mobilization, bank credit linkage, livelihood intervention and convergence with other socio economic programs. Following things will be ensured:-

- Coverage of 100% poor households.
- Formation of SHGs of left over households by VOs and Community.
- Existing SHGs, VOs will be strengthened .
- Development of I-CRPs and I-PRPs.

- Resource SHGs and VOs will be identified for exposure visits from other intensive blocks.
- NRLM Ttraining Centers (TCs) will be established.
- Community trainers, MBK, SHG/VO trainers will be developed and deployed.
- All eligible SHGs will be provided revolving funds.
- All SHGs will be federated into VOs and all VOs will be further federated into CLFs/BLFs.
- CLFs/BLFs will be provided start-up funds.
- Amount of CIF already provided to VOs will be circulated among the member SHGs on the basis of MCP.
- The VOs will start re-payment of CIF to CLF/BLF once these are formed.
- All eligible SHGs will be credit linked.
- Ensure 100% recoveries of the loan through CBRM.
- Livelihood activities will be started.
- Bring convergence with other departments.

Capitalization of CBOs through RF and CIF.

During 2016-17, 2500 SHGs will be provided RF @ Rs. 15000/- per SHG. The figure of SHGs to be provided RF has been worked out taking into account the eligible SHGs from earlier year as well as SHG to become eligible for RF formed during the year 2016-17. It will be ensured that all SHGs follow Panchasutra, meet the grading requirements, prepare MCPs for CIFs and maintain proper accounting system etc.

It is estimated that around 500 SHGs will be provided CIF out of the budget of 2016-17. CIF shall be made available to the VOs for further disbursement to SHGs on rotational basis so that more no. of VOs are covered by the available funds.

b. Audit of Community Institution.

The audit of the SHGs was earlier conducted by the project staff. However, now VOs has been formed in resource blocks, it is envisaged to train VOs and facilitators to conduct audit of SHGs. It is planned that in 9 districts audit of the SHGs will be conducted by VOs and the audit of VOs

will be conducted by CLFs/BLFs as per the rules and procedures developed under Community Operational Manual (COM) in the state. The audit of CLFs/BLFs will be got conducted through project staff.

Community Cadre

The details of community cadre developed during 2015-16 and planned for the year 2016-17 are given below:-

| SN. | Community Cadre | Upto Jan, | Target for | Cumulativ |
|-----|---------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| | | 2016 | 2016-17 | e |
| 1 | SHG Bookkeeper | 3652 | 4500 | 8152 |
| 2 | Active Women | 1200 | 1500 | 2700 |
| 3 | TOT Trainers | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| 4 | Bank Mitra | 35 | 50 | 85 |
| 5 | I-CRP | 320 | 400 | 720 |
| 6 | I-PRP | 50 | 50 | 100 |
| 7 | Master Trainers | 50 | 100 | 150 |
| 8 | Master Book Keepers | 50 | 100 | 150 |

The community cadre developed during these years will help HSRLM to scale up the activities in the coming years.

c. <u>Plans for capacity building of institutions (including CB calendar) for resource, intensive and partnership blocks</u>.

In the resource blocks which are being supported by NROs, the capacity building of institutions will be taken up with the help of resource persons of NROs. For intensive blocks the capacity building will be taken up with the help of resource persons of resource blocks. The following trainings have been planned in 2016-17:-

| Sr. No. | Name of the training | No. of trainings | Participants | Duration | Place | Ву |
|------------|---|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------|------------------|------------------------|
| 1. | Basic training of SHGs including Financial Literacy | 900 | 35000 members of SHGs | 3 days | Village level | PRPs/Project Staffs |

| 2. | Training of VOs | 500 (2 trainings per VO) | 200 VOs | 3 days | Village level | Senior PRPs/ Project Staff |
|----|--|---|---------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| 3. | Training of CLFs and their leaders | 100 (4 trainings for each CLF) | 20 CLFs | 3 days per training | Block level | RO |

The HSRLM-TCs (training centers) will be used for providing training to SHG leaders, book keepers, Samooh Sevikas, Community trainers, VO leader and all other related trainings of community. The trainings will be imparted by the identified master trainers, resource persons and project staff.

d. <u>Plan for capacity building of community cadre (including CB calendar) for resource, intensive and partnership blocks.</u>

In 9 resource blocks which are being supported by NROs, the capacity building of community cadre will be taken up with the help of resource persons NROs. In intensive blocks the capacity building will be taken up by the resource blocks supporting the intensive blocks. The following trainings are planned during 2015-16:-

| Sr. No | Name of the training | No. of trainings | Participants | Duration | Place | Ву |
|-----------|--|------------------|--|----------|------------------|--|
| 1. | Basic training of SHG leaders including financial literacy | 1800 | 9000 SHG leaders | 1 day | Village level | PRPs/Projec t Staffs/ master trainers |
| 2. | Training of Book- keepers | 200 | 4500 | 3 days | Block level | PRP/Project Staff/ master trainers |
| 3. | Training for VO leaders and sub-committee members | 50 | 1250 VO leaders and sub- committee members | 3 days | Block level | PRP/Project Staff/ master trainers |

| 4. | Orientation training | 50 | 1500 AW | 3 days | Block | PRP/Project |
|----|----------------------------------|-----|---------|-----------|-------|----------------|
| | for Women Activists | | | | level | Staff/ master |
| | | | | | | trainers |
| 8. | Exposure-cum- training visits | 50 | 1500 AW | 5-10 days | RO | |
| 9 | iCRPs | 100 | 700 | 30 days | RO/ | Project staff/ |
| | | | | 15 days | Block | resource |
| | | | | immersion | | persons/RO |
| 10 | iPRPs | 4 | 70 | 30 days | RO/ | Project staff/ |
| | | | | 15 days | HSRL | resource |
| | | | | immersion | M | perons/RO |

The trainings will be on different areas such as SHG concept and Management, VO-Concept & Management, CLF concept & Management, SHG bookkeeping, VO Bookkeeping, CLF bookkeeping, MCP preparation and appraisal process, Bank linkage, PIP etc.

e. <u>Plans for capacity building of mission staff in resource, intensive and partnership blocks</u>.

| The following | trainings are | nlanned i | for the | Mission | staff:- |
|---------------|-------------------|---------------|----------|------------|---------|
| The following | ci aiiiiiigo ai c | , piulilicu . | ioi tiic | 1.11991011 | Juli. |

| Sr. No. | Topic /area | No. of trainings | No. of days | Participants |
|------------|---|---------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|
| 1. | Training on 1 st & 2 nd level federation. | 2 | 3 days each | Thematic staff of BMMU, DMMU |
| 2. | CBRM | 1 | 1 day | Managerial staff |
| 3. | Gender sensitization | 1 | 1 day | CCs |
| 4. | M&E, MIS | 1 | 2-3 days | Thematic staff of BMMU, DMMU |
| 5. | Refresher on specific NRLM thematic area | 2 | 2-3 days each | Thematic staff of BMMU, DMMU, SMMU |
| 6. | Leadership | 1 | 3 days | Managerial staff |

In addition to above, 1-2 days' inputs on following areas will also be provided to the staff:-

- 1. SHG/VO/CLF/BLF Bookkeeping
- 2. SHG/VO/CLF/BLF concept & management
- 3. Financial management at Community Institution level
- 4. NRLM key processes and outcomes like-

- Deepening of RB like layering activities,
- Intensive Blocks strategy
- 5. Management training for the management of DMMU and BMMU staff
- 6. Rotation of Fund from CLF/BLF to SHG members and facilitation
- 7. Bank Linkage etc.

For undertaking the CB activities of training etc. for community as well as staff members, it is proposed to set up four HSRLM-Training Centres (TCs). These TCs shall have the provision for residential as well as non-residential training programs. These TCs shall be covering various districts of the state as their command area as under:

| Sr. | Name of TC | Command Area of TC |
|-----|---------------------------------|--|
| no. | | |
| 1 | HSRLM-TC, Bawanikhera (Bhiwani) | Bhiwani, Hisar Sirsa, Fatehabad,Jhajjar and Rohtak. |
| 2 | HSRLM-TC, Siwan (Kaithal) | Kaithal, Jind, Karnak, Sonepat and Panipat |
| 3 | HSRLM-TC, Tauru (Mewat) | Mewat, Rewari, Palwal, Mahendergarh, Gurgaon and Faridabad. |
| 4 | HSRLM-TC, Panchkula | Panchkula, Ambala, Kurukshetra and Yamunanagar. |

f. Partnership with NROs and other capacity building institutions.

Apart from making optimum use of its own training centres as mentioned above, HSRLM shall approach RGMVP and NIRD for providing resource persons for social mobilization and capacity building for community and staff.

HSRLM has also been associating with Haryana Institute of Rural Development(HIRD) for providing infrastructure and training facilities for the community and staff. It will also have a tie-up arrangement with PNB's Farmers' Training Centre (FTC) Sachchakhera district Jind, Haryana, for arranging training programs and exposure visits of the SHG members and leaders. We also propose to make effective use of the set up of the Financial Literacy Centres which have been established by different banks at all the block head quarters.

Chapter- 5

Financial Inclusion

a. <u>Key strategies and activities for promotion of financial literacy.</u> SHG bank linkage and insurance.

For promoting financial literacy, help of financial literacy centers run by banks will be obtained. The district functional mangers in the DMMUs will liaison with the banks. Insurance companies will be invited to explain their products in the meetings of VOs and other federations.

The bankers from the resource and intensive blocks will be sent for exposure visits to the areas of resource organizations to sensitize them. They will also be sent to the Centres of Excellance (CoE) in the resource blocks for learning from the demonstrative functioning of VOs/CLFs. BLBC/ DLCC platforms will be used for promotion of bank linkage. SLBC platform will also be used for promoting bank linkage of SHGs.

b. Enabling SHGs to access interest subvention.

3 districts namely Bhiwani, Mewat and Jhajjar were covered under category-I districts and 3 more have been recommended to the GoI to bring them to the fold of Category-I namely Kaithal, Karnal and Panchkula. Remaining 15 districts would be under category-II. The interest subvention process was started in category I district and banks are claiming interest subvention regularly. However, the scheme could not pick up in category II districts. The scheme could not progress mainly due to non-sharing of data by banks with NRO. With the efforts of NMMU and HSRLM, banks have started sharing data with NRO. Keeping this in view, an amount of Rs.84.00 Lakh has been estimated under interest subvention for 2016-17.

c. Communitization Initiatives - Bank Mitra, CBRM etc.

HSRLM has taken initiatives for handing over the NRLM processes to the community. During CRP rounds active women are identified by the eCRPs and these active women are further given

orientation training & exposure to NROs for 10-15 days. The active women are further attached with the external CRPs for 30-60 days.

After the trainings and exposure visits, the SHGs in a village selects one of the active women as Samooh Seevika for handholding upto 10 SHGs. In case there is only 1 VO and the number of SHGs are more than 10 but less than 20 then 1 SS will be deployed for handholding and other support. In the initial 1 year, the resource fee @ Rs.150.00 per SHG per month will be paid from the project fund. The amount will be given in advance to the VOs for onward payment to SS. The policy for deployment of Samooh Sevikas has been framed and being followed by the community.

Similarly, the book keepers from amongst the SHGs are selected and given training. In SHGs where most of the members are illiterate a bookkeeper from community is identified and trained and attached with the SHGs.

HSRLM has framed 'Bank Mitra Policy' in consultation with SLBC of the state. This policy has been circulated among the banks as well as to our district offices. It is estimated that around 70 bank mitras will be placed during 2016-17. It is proposed to provide support for this purpose from the project fund. The responsibility of selection and managing bank mitras will be given to VOs/CLFs with guidance from this project staff. HSRLM will ensure that VOs/CLFs are involved in the recovery of the loans taken by SHGs so that the repayment is 100% through CBRM .

Chapter-6

Livelihoods

a. Initiative proposed-farm, off-farm and non-farm:-

HSRLM has planned livelihoods initiatives in 4 blocks namely Siwan, Bawani Khera, Tauru and Narnaund. These initiatives will be under Mahila Kisan Sashaktikaran Pariyojana (MKSP) for agriculture and livestock sector. AAP 2016-17 is having a segment in this regard which has since been presented to MoRD for their approval.

| | Bhiwan | <u>i</u> | | <u>Ihajjar</u> | | Kaithal | _ | <u>HIsar</u> | | <u>Total</u> | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|----------|-----|----------------|-----|---------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|-----|
| No. of Villages to be covered | : | 32 | | 43 | | 47 | | 31 | | 153 | |
| be covered | Т | A | | Т | A | T | A | T | A | Т | A |
| 1st year | 10 | 10 | | 10 | 13 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 40 | 37 |
| AKMs | 1500 | • | | 1800 | • | 2000 | | 1500 | • | 6800 | |
| 1st year | 300 | | 245 | 300 | 295 | 300 | 201 | 300 | 234 | 1200 | 894 |
| CRPs | 45 | | | 50 | • | 60 | • | 45 | | 200 | |
| 1st year | 10 | | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 40 | 43 |
| TrgSHG members | 30 | | 20 | 30 | 80 | 30 | 20 | 30 | 8 | 120 | 128 |
| -Vo Members | 6 | | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 24 | 18 |
| -AKMs | 10 | | 6 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 40 | 15 |
| Exposure Visit- Within Districts | 50 | | 5 | 50 | 27 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 20 | 200 | 52 |
| -Outside Districts | 10 | | 4 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 13 | 40 | 38 |
| HHs covered | 300 | | 245 | 300 | 295 | 300 | 201 | 300 | 12 | 1200 | 753 |
| Documentation | 10 | | 3 | 10 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 40 | 10 |

T - Target

A - Achievement

AKM - Active Krishi Mahila

This is a 3 years project and implementation of MKSP has been initiated during the year 2015-16 as 1st year of its implementation. Its

outreach has covered 753 households in 37 villages of 4 blocks of the respective districts as brief above.

b. Others:-

DDU-GKY (Aajeevika Skills projects) is also a part of NRLM implementation in the state. MoRD allocated target of 39330 candidates to be covered under these projects for training and providing placement. To achieve the target projects of 11 PIAs have already been approved by MoRD. This includes an outlay of Rs.133.45 crores to be spent on skill development of the beneficiaries who are unemployed rural youth. In the 1st year i.e. 2014-15 an outlay of Rs. 33.36 crores was scheduled while the remaining amount of Rs. 66.71 crores was scheduled for 2015-16 and the remaining amount of Rs. 33.38 crores is to be spent in the year 2016-17. The progress relating to implementation of these projects is as under:-

| S. No | Name of the Project Implementing Agency | Total Target | Training upto Jan., 2016 | Target till March 2016 |
|-------|--|--------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | India Can | 7860 | 5143 | 5000 |
| 2 | ICA Edu-tech Pvt. | 6400 | 1154 | 3600 |
| 3 | AISECT | 2000 | 410 | 2000 |
| 4 | Eagle hunter solutions | 3000 | 333 | 1800 |
| 5 | Wazir Advisors Pvt. Ltd | 600 | 108 | 360 |
| 6 | CENTUM WORKSKILLS | 7800 | 3638 | 5160 |
| 7 | QUESS | 4000 | 1001 | 2490 |
| 8 | IISD | 2200 | 341 | 2200 |
| 9 | AFCL | 1845 | 0 | 260 |
| 10 | ADAYANA LEARNING | 2000 | 218 | 2000 |
| 11 | MCONS | 1625 | 265 | 1625 |
| | Total | 39330 | 12611 | 26495 |

Chapter- 7

Pilots, Partnership, Innovation and convergence

a) New Pilots and Innovations

Use of mobiles for providing educative information on health, sanitation and govt. schemes, etc is being planned and will be introduced in the year 2016-17. This pilot will be done in 4 blocks where MKSP is being implemented. This will help in providing information to the women farmers on various issues and lead to propagation of organic farming.

b) Strengthening ongoing partnerships

HSRLM has entered into partnership with NABARD for training and exposure visits of bankers. The support will be provided by NABARD by associating with their district level officials - DDMs. This partnership will be strengthened and continued in the year 2016-17 and the WSHGs formed by NABARD in district Mewat and Sirsa shall be brought into the fold of NRLM.

c) New Partnership

RGMVP has initiated partnership with HSRLM to take up 1 block as 'partnership block' where social mobilization would be undertaken by RGMVP at their own cost. Ferozpur Jirkha block in Mewat has been identified as the partnership block by RGMVP, Raebareli, UP. RGMVP has deployed its CRP teams for social mobilization and formation of SHGs, VOs and training of community members, leaders, etc. HSRLM will provide RF and will help in bank linkage of SHGs.

Chapter-8

Institution of systems

- **A- Empanelment of SHGs:** We have taken up the initiative of gathering information about the SHGs engaged in making marketable products in different districts on the basis of product-category wise classification. After scrutiny of their working, on district/block level verification of their working, we propose to empanel the SHGs and make information about them available to the prospective buyers so that they may approach these SHGs to procure the products directly from them.
- **B.** Baseline Study: HSRLM had awarded a contract to Academy of Management Studies (AMS), Lucknow for conducting the Baseline survey in Haryana on 8-9-2014. The baseline survey has since been completed and the report has been prepared. The survey covered a total of 5400 households from 180 villages of 36 blocks spread across 18 districts. The overall sample was equally divided between the intervention and control groups.

This report is based on survey of 2700 households each in the identified intervention and control areas. Officials of a total of 274 SHGs in intervention area and 158 in control area were also interviewed to assess the status of these groups in the area. This report provides a detailed description of findings pertaining to key areas of interest for the Mission. Comparative baseline estimates for key Mission outcome & Impact indicators for the sampled intervention and control areas have been presented in the form of annexure to the report. Some of the key insights pertaining to current situation of intervention area with regard to pertinent outcome and impact areas have been summarized hereunder for a quick review.

Livelihood Assets

➤ A majority 56% of the intervention households are landless. The SC/ST households emerge as the most disadvantaged with only 14% of them reporting any ownership of land and about 10% having irrigated land.

- ➤ Merely 13% of SC/ST households are engaged in cultivation as against 71% of such households belonging to 'others' category.
- Average landholding for 'Others' category households sizes to 3.3 acres, 2.4 acres of it being irrigated. Whereas, the SC /ST households report an average landholding of 0.5 acres, and average irrigated land sizing to 0.3 acres.

Household Income

- ➤ Cultivation and non-agricultural labour emerge as the most preferred sources of income, with 44% households dependant on each of these sources. Animal husbandry (36%) remains as the next most preferred source of income, engaging majorly women of the household. 25% households earn their livelihood from salaried work, and 13% are engaged in some form of micro-enterprise.
- ➤ General category households are resorting to more gainful and stable sources of income like cultivation (74%), animal husbandry (44%) and salaried work (30%). Whereas, the SC/STs resort to less paying and highly insecure sources of income like non agricultural labour (60%). This leaves them all the more economically insecure.
- Non-agricultural labour, cultivation, and animal husbandry emerge as low remunerative tasks contributing to 20%, 26% and 8% of the total household income respectively while engaging a majority of 44% (non-agricultural labour and cultivation) and 36% (animal husbandry) households.

Savings

- ➤ Overall, an appreciable proportion of 74% households are saving some money. The propensity to save among SC/ST (68%) households is far less as compared to those belonging to general category (80%).
- ➤ Wide gender differential is seen when it comes to individual level savings. Only 14% of female reported to be saving any money and their median saving in the last one year amounts to Rs. 1000/-. Whereas, among male population, 31% report to have saved some money in the past one year and their median saving amounted to Rs. 3000/-.
- ➤ The quantum of saving was found to be very low, ranging from a minimum of Rs. 100 to a maximum of Rs. 6 Lakhs, in the past one year. The median saving was calculated to be Rs. 3000/-, which

indicates that roughly 50% of the households had saved less than Rs. 3000/- in the past one year.

Indebtedness

- ➤ Overall, only 46% of households reported to have taken any loan in the past three years. There is very little variation among socioeconomic categories on this count.
- ➤ The median outstanding debt for SC/ST communities ranged to Rs. 40,000/-. Such loan amounts appear significantly huge to be paid off by economically weaker sections making them more prone to insecurity and financial crisis.
- ➤ The main sources of loan that people resort to in order of dependence include friends/ relatives (46%), local money lenders (23%), and banks (18%).

Women Empowerment

- Womens' participation in political meetings (27%) and in economic activities like attending SHG meetings (16%) is particularly low. Roughly one in every four (25%) women still feel insecure and do not move out on their own even for social causes.
- ➤ Only in roughly one-tenth of the households women hold the decision making authority for almost all kinds of decisions. Women are consulted more when children's education is concerned and also in times of availing health services.

Status of Self Help Groups

- ➤ 6.4% of the intervention households have any member who is associated with an SHG. The SC/STs (7.3%), and other backward castes (7%) have a better representation in SHGs.
- ➤ 61% SHGs in the intervention area report to have received Revolving Fund. Almost half of the SHGs that have been provided RF (47%) are predominantly constituted of Scheduled caste members.
- ➤ Among the SHGs in the intervention area, 43% have taken any loans.
- ➤ It was appreciable to note that 5% of the SHGs have a saving of more than Rs. 50,000/-. On the other end of the continuum are 12% SHGs that only have a saving of Rs. 1000/- or lesser.

C. Developing Centers of Excellence (CoE) and instituting state level awards: We have taken up development of VOs as the Centres of Excellence for demonstrative performance so that community cadres, bankers and other stake holders could be taken to such CoE for exposure visit. We have advised the DMMUs of the four districts which were taken for implementation in 1st Phase, for developing minimum 5 VOs in each of their districts. DMMUs of other districts shall also be emulating the phenomenon subsequently.

We propose to institute a state level award in 2016-17 for best VO at the state level and best SHGs at the district level on the pattern of national level awards being instituted by the MoRD.

D. Formation of Internal Complaint Committee (ICC): HSRLM is committed to a gender friendly and socially inclusive work place as per the provisions in the HR Manual. All employees are expected to be gender sensitive in their behavior, beliefs and attitudes. Accordingly, HSRLM has to adopt Zero Tolerance Policy towards any incidence of sexual harassment and has to be responsive to the complaints of employees about harassment or other offensive conduct. To analyze and propose remedial actions in such cases it is mandatory to constitute Internal Complains Committee (ICC) as per Government of India Act, 2013.

Accordingly, the Internal Complaint Committee comprising of following members has been formed:-

- 1. Project Director
- 2. SPM
- 3. DPM (Lady member)
- E. Formation of Grievance Redressal Cell (GRC): It has been stated in our HR Manual that though grievances are part of the work environment yet they need to be positively addressed and resolved. For this purpose Grievance Redressal Structure has been provided in the Manual. However, we have not yet specifically formed the Grievance Redressal Cell at our office. It is accordingly contemplated that the following setup of GRC may be setup during the year 2016-17:-
- 1. Chairperson..... CEO
- 2. Member.....PD

- 3. Member.....SPM
- 4. Member.....Lady Official

In the aforesaid setup of GRC, the PD shall be designated as the Nodal Grievance Redressal Officer and the AM(P) shall be associate officer for maintaining the grievance register etc. It is pertinently added that the grievance means a dissatisfaction connected with the conditions of work perceived to be arising due to violation/misapplication of HSRLM policies and procedures such as those related to:-

- a. Terms of service and benefits
- b. Work environment
- c. Interpersonal relations
- d. Performance appraisal
- e. Disciplinary action etc.

<u>Chapter-9</u> <u>Other activities</u>..... a- RSETIs

21 RSETIs are operating in the state. 16 RSETIs are being managed by PNB, 3 RSETIs (including 1 RUDSETI at Gurgaon) by Syndicate Banks, 1 RSETIs by OBC and 1 by SBOP. The involvement of NMMU by providing guidelines to improve working of RSETIs has made impact on their working. The simplified procedure for reimbursement of training expenses has also helped RSETIs to push up the training of candidates as well as provide support for self employment ventures. The State Coordinator appointed to look after the working of RSETIs in the state has also helped to push up and improve the working of RSETIs. HSRLM is continuously reviewing the working of RSETIs and reimbursing the expenditure as per the guidelines of MoRD, Government of India. The state level monitoring committee for RSETIs has been set up for periodical review of the working of RSETIs as advised by the MoRD.

The RSETIs have availed reimbursement from HSRLM to the extent of Rs.56.75 Lakh upto January, 2016 against the total allocation of Rs.178.50 Lakh

b- **SARAS** and Market Fairs

We have been nominating artisans and handicraft SHGs and their members etc. for display and sale of their articles in different SARAS fairs being organized in various states. During 2015-16, we had supported these artisans etc, for attending the fairs in Rajasthan, Chhattisgarh, Punjab, HP, Odisha, Maharashtra and New Delhi apart from the famous international Suraj Kund Mela in Haryana. An amount of Rs.35 Lakh had been kept in AAP for organizing SARAS fair during 2015-16. Saras Fair has been organized in this year during $19^{\rm th}$ - $28^{\rm th}$ Feb.2016. The fair was inaugurated by the Governor , Haryana.

In this fair participants from 23 states and 2 union territories arrived along with their articles for display and sale. In all, nearly 310 stalls were put up by them of which nearly 85 stall were from the host state Haryana. According to an estimate it generated sales revenue of Rs. 3.10 crores approximately benefitting the respective artisans and other persons engaged in various handicrafts.

Chapter - 10

Timeline of Activities

Based on the activities planned during 2016-17, the Gantt chart has been prepared and same is given as under:-

| List of activities | Q 1 | Q 2 | Q 3 | Q 4 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Staff training, consultation, training workshop etc. | _ | | | |
| Services from consultant resource persons. | | | | |
| Social mobilization by external CRPs/PRPs | | | | |
| Social mobilization through internal CPRs/PRPs | | | | |
| Development of CRPs | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Facilitation of SHGs/Vos/CLFs/ Community professionals | | | | |
| Formation, revival/ strengthening of SHGs in non-intensive blocks | | | _ | |
| Positioning of Bank Mitras | | | | |
| Exposures of Bankers | | | | |
| Financial literacy and counselling training | | | | |
| Demand driven trainings of bankers & others. | | | | |
| Revolving fund to SHGs | | | | |
| Start-up cost to Vos and CLFs | | | | |
| CIF to VOs | | | | |
| VRF to VOs | | | | |
| RF to eligible SHGs in non-intensive blocks | | | | |
| Monitoring studies | | | | |
| Piloting & testing of MIS software in old resource blocks | | | | |
| Hiring of agency to develop own transaction based MIS software | | | | |
| IEC activities | | | | |
| Development of training centres in resource blocks | | | | |
| Provision of interest subvention to category II districts | | | | |
| Training of rural youth under RSETI | | | | |
| Participation in SARAS Fair | | | | |
| Development of Rural Haats – completion | | | | |
| Establishment of centres under DDU-GKY | | | | |
| Training of rural poor youth under DDU-GKY | | | | |
| Credit linkage of SHGs with banks | | | | |

Chapter - 11

Summary of Costs & Resources of Finance

The summary of the costs & resources is given as under:-

| S. No. | Particulars | Estimated cost (F | | |
|-------------------|--|-------------------|---------|---------|
| | | Centre | State | Total |
| B. State live | elihood support | | | |
| B1.1 | State and District mission management unit | 200.22 | 133.48 | 333.70 |
| B1.2 | Capacity Building Support | 26.28 | 17.52 | 43.80 |
| B2.2 Social Mobi | lisation and Community l | Institutions | | |
| B2.1 | Block management Unit Costs | 481.42 | 320.94 | 802.37 |
| B2.2 | Social Mobilisation and Community Institutions | 212.25 | 141.51 | 453.76 |
| B2.3 | Financial inclusion and Initiatives | 13.50 | 9.00 | 22.50 |
| B 3 Community I | Investment Support Fund | | | |
| B3.1 | Community Investment Support | 540.90 | 360.60 | 901.50 |
| D Project Implen | nentation Support | | 1 | 1 |
| D2 | Monitoring & Evaluation Study | 16.80 | 11.20 | 28.00 |
| D4 | Governance and Anti Corruption | 0.90 | 0.60 | 1.50 |
| D5 | Knowledge mgt. & communication | 9.60 | 5.40 | 16.00 |
| E. Infrastructure | and marketing support | | | |
| E1 | SARAS Fair | 23.76 | 15.84 | 39.60 |
| F. Interest subve | ntion (intensive+non-int | ensive) | | |
| F2 | Category 2 Districts | 50.40 | 33.60 | 84.00 |
| G | RSETIs | 50.88 | 33.92 | 84.80 |
| | MKSP | 157.80 | 105.20 | 263.00 |
| | SVEP | 104.40 | 69.60 | 174.00 |
| | Total | 1889.23 | 1259.49 | 3148.73 |
| | DDU-GKY | 2002.80 | 1335.20 | 3338.00 |
| | Grand Total | 3892.03 | 2594.69 | 6486.73 |

The project cost including administrative cost under Annual Action Plan for 2016-17 is worked out to be Rs. 3148.73 Lakh which will be utilized to undertake various activities during the year. Out of the above, outlay of Rs. 1889.23 Lakh, shall be the Central Government Share and Rs. 1259.49 lakh, shall be the State Government share on 60:40 basis, net of the outlay of DDU-GKY.

Table-1

AAP 2015-16: Targets and Achievements

| S. | Particulars | articulars NRLM | | | Remarks |
|---------|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| No. | | As Approved in AAP 2015-16 | Achievement- Jan'16 | Expected Achievement March 2016 | |
| A. | | | | | |
| 1 | No. of thematic positions at SMMU | 8 | 1 | 1 | Recruitment process is being undertaken shortly |
| 2 | No. of thematic positions at DMMU*[1] | 47 | 30 | 30 | The deficit is being identified |
| 3 | No. of thematic positions at BMMU* | 30 (BPMs) | 80 (BPMs+CCs) | 80 | |
| B. | | | | | |
| 4 | No. of districts where intensive implementation has started | 10 | 10 | 10 | |
| 5 | No. of blocks where intensive implementation has started | 22 | 22 | 22 | |
| 6 C. | No. of villages entered | 135 | 135 | 152 | |
| 7 | No. of new SHGs promoted | 900 | 922 | 950 | |

| S. | Particulars | NRLM | | | Remarks |
|-----|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| No. | | As Approved in AAP 2015-16 | Achievement- Jan'16 | Expected Achievement March 2016 | |
| 8 | No. of old SHGs revived/ strengthened | 150 | 378 | 425 | Includes non intensive data |
| 9 | Total No. of SHGs Promoted | 1050 | 1300 | 1325 | |
| 10 | Households Mobilized into SHG Fold | 13500 | 9786 | 11500 | |
| 11 | No. of village organization formed. | 90 | 74 | 80 | |
| 12 | No. of Cluster Level Federations (CLF) formed | 6 | 2 | 2 | |
| D. | | | | | |
| 13 | No. of SHG Bookkeepers placed | 1050 | 1300 | 1325 | |
| 14 | No. of MBK trained and placed | 40 | 40 | 40 | |
| 15 | No. of internal CRP identified and trained | 80 | 198 | 200 | |
| E. | | | | | |
| 16 | No. of SHGs having opened Savings Bank account | 1050 | 1300 | 1325 | |
| 17 | No. of SHGs provided RF | 730 | 507 | 750 | |

| S. | Particulars | NRLM | | | Remarks |
|-----|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| No. | | As Approved in AAP 2015-16 | Achievement- Jan'16 | Expected Achievement March 2016 | |
| 18 | Amount of RF disbursed (in Rs. lakh) | 109.51 | 76.01 | 112.00 | |
| 19 | No. of SHGs provided CIF | 150 | 258 | 260 | |
| 20 | Amount of CIF disbursed (in Rs. lakh) | 60.00 | 128.10 | 130.00 | |
| 21 | No. of village organization (VO) opened bank account | 90 | 74 | 75 | |
| 22 | No. of village organization (VO) received Vulnerability Reduction Fund (VRF) | 15 (60.00 lakh) | 19 (77.00 Lakh) | 19 (77.00 Lakh) | |
| 23 | No. of Cluster Level Federations (CLF) having bank A/c | 6 | 2 | 2 | |
| 24 | No. of Bank Branch managers immersed with Resource Organisation | 50 | 26 | 26 | |
| 25 | No. of SHGs accessing bank credit | 2000 | 1969 | 2200 | The targets were fixed keeping in view the old SHGs formed under SGSY. |
| 26 | Amount of bank credit accessed (Rs. in | 1000 | 1589 | 1900 | |

| S. No. | Particulars | NRLM | | | Remarks |
|-----------|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| NU. | | As Approved in AAP 2015-16 | Achievement- Jan'16 | Expected Achievement March 2016 | |
| | Lakh) | | | | |
| 27 | No. of SHGs accessing interest subvention | 2036 | 168 | 275 | An amount of Rs. 436.00 Lakh was moved from the interest subvention and reallocated to the CISF. The data of eligible SHGs has not been shared by the concerned banks with the NRO. |
| 28 | Amount of Interest Subvention disbursed (Rs. in Lakh) | 111.96 | 6.18 | 10.00 | |
| F. | | | | | |
| 33 | No. of SHGs, whose profile entered in the MIS | 17,000 | 14,210 | 14,500 | Only active and NRLM compliant SHGs were uploaded |
| 34 | No. of procurement assignments completed | 5 | 5 | | - |
| 35 | % Utilization of available fund | 85 | 85 | 90 | |

| Table 2 | : Financial Allocation and Expenditure Incurred under AAP 2015-16 | |
|---------|--|--------------|
| | | Upto Jan'16 |
| | | Rs. in Crore |
| S.No. | Parameter | Total |
| 1. | Approved Allocation | 12.10 |
| 2. | Opening Balances | 6.54 |
| 3. | SGSY Balances | - |
| 4. | Amount released | 7.71 |
| 5. | Expenditure up to Jan'16 | 8.45 |
| 6. | Estimated Expenditure up to 31st March, 2016 | 10.95 |
| 7. | Expenditure up to Jan'16 as % of total funds (opening balance + amount Released during | 67% |
| | FY 15-16) | |
| 8. | Estimated Expenditure up to March, 2016 as % of approved allocation | 90% |

| Table 3: | NRLM Component Wise | Expenditure for FY 15 | 5-16 (Up to Jan. 2016) | | |
|----------|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | - | • | | | Rs. In Crore |
| S. No. | Component/Sub | AAP Approved | Expenditure | Variance (%) | Reasons |
| | Component | | | | |
| A | | | | | |
| A1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| В | | | | | |
| B1 | State Livelihoods | 2.09 | 1.55 | | |
| | Mission SMMU/BMMU | | | | |
| B2 | IB & CB | 4.80 | 4.79 | | |
| В3 | CISF | 2.33 | 2.33 | | |
| B4 | - | | | | |
| С | - | | | | |
| C1 | - | | | | |
| C2 | - | | | | |
| C3 | - | | | | |
| D | M&E | | 0.03 | | |
| D2 | Monitoring & | | | | |
| | Evaluation studies | | | | |
| D3 | | | | | |
| D4 | | | | | |
| D5 | | | | | |
| F | Intent sup. | 1.12 | 0.06 | | |
| G | RSETIs | 1.78 | 0.57 | | |
| G1 | SARAS Mela | 0.35 | 0.35 | | |
| | Sub Total | | | | |
| Н | DDU-GKY | | | | |

| Table 4: | NRLM AAP: Source of Finance: 2015-16 | | |
|----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| | | | Up to Jan 2016 |
| S. No. | Source of Finance | Amount Received (Rs. Crore) | |
| 2 | NRLM | | |
| a. | Centre | 4.63 | |
| b. | State | 3.08 | |
| c. | Total | 7.71 | |
| 3 | Other(RSETIs, SARAS, Rural Haats) | 0.92 | |
| 4 | Total | 8.63 | |
| 5 | DDU-GKY | | |

Table-5

Key Activities and Outputs: FY 2016-17

Amount in Rs. Lakhs

| | Amount in Rs. La | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| S. No. | Indicators | Up to Jan'16 | By Mar'16 (Expected) | NRLM FY 2016-17 | | | | | | |
| 1 | No. of intensive districts | 10 | 10 | 10 | | | | | | |
| 2 | No. of intensive blocks | 22 | 22 | 21 | | | | | | |
| 3 | Number of Gram Panchayats in which intensive strategy initiated | 103 | 105 | 200 | | | | | | |
| 4 | Number of villages in which intensive strategy initiated | 152 | 160 | 225 | | | | | | |
| 5 | Number of new SHGs promoted by SRLM | 922 | 1000 | 3000 | | | | | | |
| 6 | Number of revived/strengthened SHGs | 378 | 500 | 500 | | | | | | |
| 7 | Total number of SHGs under NRLM fold (5+6) | 1300 | 1500 | 3500 | | | | | | |
| 8 | Number of SHGs with saving bank A/c | 922 | 1000 | 3500 | | | | | | |
| 9 | Total Households mobilized into all SHGs under NRLM | 9786 | 10500 | 35000 | | | | | | |
| 10 | Number of SHGs provided basic training | 922 | 1000 | 3500 | | | | | | |
| 11 | Number of SHGs with standard bookkeeping practices introduced | 805 | 825 | 3500 | | | | | | |
| 12 | Number of SHGs following Panch Sutras | 758 | 850 | 3500 | | | | | | |
| 13 | Number of Master bookkeepers deployed | 35 | 40 | 100 | | | | | | |
| 14 | Number of internal CRPs deployed after training | 122 | 122 | 400 | | | | | | |
| 15 | Number of Bank Mitras deployed | 17 | 35 | 50 | | | | | | |
| 16 | Total amount of saving in all SHGs (in Rs. Crores) | 4.93 | 5.50 | 6.00 | | | | | | |
| 17 | Number of SHGs provided RF | 513 | 750 | 2500 | | | | | | |
| 18 | Amount of RF provided to SHGs (Lakh) | 76.91 | 35.55 | 375.00 | | | | | | |
| 19 | Number of MCP trainers used | 40 | 45 | 100 | | | | | | |
| 20 | Number of SHGs that have prepared MIP/MCP | 298 | 325 | 750 | | | | | | |
| 21 | Number of SHGs provided CIF (directly or through VOs) | 258 | 275 | 500 | | | | | | |
| 22 | No. of SHGs repaying CIF to VOs | 250 | 270 | 600 | | | | | | |
| 23 | No. of SHGs that have accessed interest subvention | 178 | 300 | 1300 | | | | | | |
| 24 | Amount of interest subvention accessed | 6 | 11 | 84 | | | | | | |

| S. No. | Indicators | Up to Jan'16 | By Mar'16 (Expected) | NRLM FY 2016-17 |
|-----------|---|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| 25 | Number of VOs formed | 74 | 75 | 150 |
| 26 | Number of SHGs holding membership in the VOs | 703 | 775 | 1800 |
| 27 | Number of VOs provided training on basic VO management | 58 | 70 | 200 |
| 28 | Number of VOs having trained Bookkeeper/Assistant | 65 | 65 | 150 |
| 29 | Number of VOs provided startup fund | 74 | 75 | 300 |
| 30 | Amount of startup fund provided VO | 37 | 37.5 | 60 |
| 31 | Number of VOs provided CIF | 19 | 20 | 150 |
| 32 | Amount of CIF provided to VOs | 76.9 | 85 | 600 |
| 33 | Number of VOs provided VRF | 19 | 20 | 50 |
| 34 | Amount of VRF provided to VOs | 77 | 80 | 150 |
| 35 | Number of VOs audited | 15 | 15 | 220 |
| 36 | Number of CLFs formed | 2 | 2 | 20 |
| 37 | Number of CLFs provided startup fund | 2 | 2 | 20 |
| 38 | Amount of startup fund provided to CLFs | 2 | 2 | 20 |
| 39 | No. of SHGs accessing credit through SHG Bank linkage (1st Dosage) | 1710 | 1750 | 2500 |
| 40 | Amount of credit accessed through first linkage (Rs. lakh) | 1600 | 1680 | 2000 |
| 41 | No. of SHGs accessing credit through SHG Bank linkage (2 nd and subsequent dosage) | 286 | 300 | 500 |
| 42 | Amount of credit accessed (Rs. lakh) (2nd and subsequent dosage) | 220 | 260 | 500 |
| 43 | No. of villages with functional livelihood collectives/ organizations | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 44 | No. of trained livelihood professionals working | 14 | 14 | 25 |
| | No. of SHG member HHs taking up 2 or more additional livelihood | | | 5000 |
| 45 | activities | - | - | |
| 46 | No. of trained Mahila Kisans taking up CMSA/ PE activities | - | 750 | 3750 |
| 47 | No. of MECs deployed after training (6 states) | - | - | - |
| 40 | No. of new micro-enterprise set up with micro-enterprise consultant | | | - |
| 48 | support (in 6 states) No. of enterprises set up under SVEP | - | - | 500 |
| 49 | 100. of chief prises set up under 5 v Li | - | - | 300 |

| S. No. | Indicators | Up to Jan'16 | By Mar'16 (Expected) | NRLM FY 2016-17 |
|-----------|---|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| | No. of HHs enabled access to minimum basket of 3 entitlements/ public | | | 5000 |
| 50 | services | - | - | |
| | No. of vulnerable HHs provided access to NSAP and other public | | | - |
| 51 | services | - | - | |
| 52 | No. of HHs for which convergent plans prepared | - | - | 5000 |
| | No. of HHs provided support under convergent plans (MGNREGS, NSAP, | | | 5000 |
| 53 | IAY and SBM) | - | - | |
| 54 | Number of VOs with health risk fund | - | - | 25 |
| 55 | Number of SHGs provided health risk fund | - | - | 25 |
| 56 | Number of VOs with health related savings | - | - | 25 |
| 57 | Number of VOs with H-N centers | - | - | 25 |
| 58 | No. of SHG members covered under insurance | - | 25000 | 50000 |
| 59 | No. of insurance claims made | - | - | - |
| 60 | No. of claims redeemed | - | - | - |

Table- 6

Key Activities and Outputs under Social Inclusion : FY 2016-17

| S. No. | Indicators | Up to Jan'16 | By Mar'16 (Expected) | NRLM FY 2016-17 |
|-----------|--|--------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | Total Households mobilized into all SHGs | 9786 | 11000 | 25000 |
| 2 | Total SC Households mobilized into all SHGs | 4607 | 5000 | 11000 |
| 3 | Total ST Households mobilized into all SHGs | - | - | - |
| 4 | Total Minority Households mobilized into all SHGs | 1246 | 1500 | 2500 |
| 5 | Total PwD Households mobilized into all SHGs | 83 | 100 | 500 |
| 6 | Total vulnerable households mobilized into all SHGs | - | - | - |
| 7 | Total SHGs under NRLM (New and Revived) | 922 | 950 | 5000 |
| 8 | Number of predominantly SC-SHGs (SC>=50%) | 413 | 440 | 2400 |
| 9 | Number of predominantly ST-SHGs (ST>=50%) | - | - | - |
| 10 | Number of predominantly Minority-SHGs (Minority>=50%) | 109 | 112 | 150 |
| 11 | Number of predominantly PwD member SHGs (PwD>=50%) | - | - | - |
| 12 | Total number of SHGs provided RF | 513 | 750 | 3300 |
| 13 | Number of predominantly SC-SHGs (SC>=50%) provided RF | 266 | 300 | 1250 |
| 14 | Number of predominantly ST-SHGs (ST>=50%) provided RF | 0 | - | - |
| 15 | Number of predominantly Minority-SHGs (Minority>=50%) provided RF | 12 | 15 | 40 |
| 16 | Number of predominantly PwD-SHGs (PwD>=50%) provided RF | - | - | - |
| 17 | Amount of RF provided to predominantly SC-SHGs (SC>=50%)(Lakh) | 39.90 | 45.00 | 360 |
| 18 | Amount of RF provided to predominantly ST-SHGs (ST>=50%)(Lakh) | - | - | - |
| 19 | Amount of RF provided to predominantly Minority-SHGs (Minority>50%) (Lakh) | 1.8 | 2.25 | 2.50 |
| 20 | Amount of RF provided to predominantly PwD-SHGs (PwD>=50%) (Lakh) | - | - | - |
| 21 | Number of all SHGs provided CIF through VO | 258 | 260 | 500 |
| 22 | Total Number of all SHGs provided CIF | 258 | 275 | 750 |
| 23 | Amount of CIF provided to SHGs directly and through VOs (Lakh) | 12801 | 135 | 325 |
| 24 | Amount of CIF provided to all SHGs through VOs (Lakh) | - | 135 | |

| | | | | 325 |
|----|--|-------|-----|-----|
| 25 | Total Amount of CIF provided to SHGs (Lakh) | 128.1 | 135 | 325 |
| 26 | Number of predominantly SC-SHGs (SC >= 50%) provided CIF | 126 | 135 | 140 |
| 27 | Number of predominantly ST-SHGs (ST >= 50%) provided CIF | - | - | - |
| 28 | Number of predominantly Minority-SHGs (Minority >= 50%) provided CIF | 9 | 9 | 15 |
| 29 | Number of predominantly PwD-SHGs (PwD >= 50%) provided CIF | - | - | - |
| 30 | Amount of CIF provided to predominantly SC-SHGs (SC >= 50%) (Lakh) | 62.35 | 65 | 75 |
| 31 | Amount of CIF provided to predominantly ST-SHGs (ST >= 50%) (Lakh) | - | - | - |
| 32 | Amount of CIF provided to predominantly Minority-SHGs (Minority >= 50%) (Lakh) | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1 |
| 33 | Amount of CIF provided to predominantly PwD-SHGs (PwD >= 50%) (Lakh.) | - | - | - |

Note: Fill-in only columns relevant for the state

Table-7

Plans for Livelihood Activities: FY 2016-17

| S. No. | Items | Expected up to Mar'16 | 2016-17 | Total |
|-----------|--|--------------------------|---------|-------|
| 1 | No. of HHs covered under MKSP | 750 | 3000 | 3750 |
| 2 | No. of HHS covered under CMSA | - | - | - |
| 3 | No. of HHs covered under livestock/dairy intervention | - | 1000 | 1000 |
| 4 | No. of HHs covered under NTFP interventions | 50 | 100 | 150 |
| 5 | No. of HHs covered under other farm interventions (other than 1,2,3,4) | - | - | - |
| 6 | No. of farm livelihood resource persons deployed after training | 43 | 150 | 193 |
| 7 | No. of Producer Organizations formed | - | 4 | 4 |
| 8 | No. of SHG members part of Producer Organizations | - | 100 | 100 |
| 9 | No. of MECs deployed after training | - | 4 | 4 |
| 10 | No. of new enterprises promoted with MEC support | - | - | - |
| 11 | No. of existing enterprises supported with MEC support | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| 12 | No. of resource persons deployed for non-farm livelihoods | - | - | - |
| 13 | No. of new enterprises set up under SVEP and other non-farm interventions | - | - | - |
| 14 | No. of HHs covered under non-farm interventions (including MEC supported, SVEP and others) | - | - | - |

Table-8

NRLP Component-wise Financial Requirements*: FY 2016-17----Not applicable

Table-9

NRLM Component-wise Financial Requirements: FY 2016-17

(Rs. in crore)

| S. No. | Component/Sub Component | Expenditure Projected by State |
|--------|---|--------------------------------|
| В | State livelihoods Support | 24.5763 |
| B1 | Capacity Building Support | 3.7750 |
| B2 | IB, CB, BMMU and Social Mobilization | 11.7863 |
| В3 | Community Investment Fund etc. | 9.0150 |
| B4 | Special Program Implementation | - |
| C | Innovation & partnership support | Nil |
| C1 | Innovation fora & action pilots | - |
| C2 | Social entrepreneurship | - |
| C3 | Public Private Community Partnership | - |
| D | Project Implementation Support | 0.4550 |
| D2 | Monitoring & evaluation support | 0.28 |
| D3 | e-NRLM state and community level | - |
| D4 | Governance & anti corruption | 0.015 |
| D5 | Knowledge management & communication | 0.16 |
| Е | Infrastructure & marketing | 0.3960 |
| E1 | Intensive (SARAS FAIR) | 0.3960 |
| E2 | Non-intensive | - |
| F | Interest Subvention | 0.84 |
| F1 | Category I districts | |
| F2 | Category II districts | 0.84 |
| G | RSETI | 0.8480 |
| Н | MKSP | 2.6320 |
| H1 | Progress of projects not through AAP | - |
| H2 | Progress on projects through AAP (SVEP) | 1.74 |
| | Grant Total | 31.4873 |

Table-10

Sources of Finance: FY 2016-17

| S. No. | Source of Finance | Amount Expected (Rs. Crores) |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | NRLM | |
| a. | Centre | 18.89 |
| b. | State | 12.59 |
| с. | Others | - |
| d. | Total | 31.48 |

Table-11

NRLP Budget Template: FY 2016-17---Not applicable

Table - 12NRLM Budget Template: FY 2016-17

| Intensive | | | F | Physical | Units | | | | Est | timated Co | st (in Rs. | Lakhs) | |
|---------------------|--|------|-----|----------|-------|-----|-------|--------------|--------|------------|------------|--------|---------|
| Block Costs | Activity/Item | Unit | Q-1 | Q-2 | Q-3 | Q-4 | Total | Unit Cost | Q-1 | Q-2 | Q-3 | Q-4 | Total |
| Componen Support | t B State Livelihood | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B1 | State Rural Livelihoods Mission | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | State & District Mission | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B1.1 | Management Unit | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | In 11 districts 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | block each district is | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | to be entered during | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2016-17. The | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | proposed blocks in these districts being | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | at district | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | headquarter as such | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | post of DPM instead | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | of BPM is proposed | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | better liaisioning | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | with district | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | authortities. 50% of | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Rs.122.30 Lakhs | | | | | | | 0.09 to | | | | | |
| | being district cost in | | | | | | | 1.00 | | | | | |
| | respect of these 11 | | | | | | | (average | | | | | 0.60.60 |
| B1.1.1 | districts has been | No. | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 0.29) | 67.305 | 67.305 | 67.305 | 67.305 | 269.22 |

| | booked in BMMU and other 50% in DMMU Staff [includes travel and related costs] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|-------|----|-----|----|----|-----|------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| B1.1.2 | Office Set up [Lease, refurbishment, furniture etc.] | No. | 1 | 40 | 1 | 1 | 44 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 20.80 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 22.36 |
| | Office Equipment [Desktop computers, tablets, CUG, mobiles | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B1.1.3 | etc.] | No. | 0 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 0.60 | 0 | 3.60 | 4.20 | 0 | 7.80 |
| B1.1.4 | Other Operating Costs | No. | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 0.22 | 8.58 | 8.58 | 8.58 | 8.58 | 34.32 |
| | | Total | 71 | 116 | 78 | 71 | 127 | | 76.405 | 100.285 | 80.605 | 76.405 | 333.70 |
| B1.1.5 | Non intensive district Cost (For NRLM Format only) | No. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B1.2 | Capacity Building Support | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B1.2.1 | Staff trainings, consultations, workshops, etc. | No. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 9.00 | 9.00 | 4.50 | 27.00 |
| B1.2.2 | District Centre's, Community Learning Academies, CPLTCs, etc. | No. | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0.30 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 14.40 |
| D1.L.L | CCC. | 110. | Т | Т | Т | Т | 1 | 0.50 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 11.70 |

| | Consultants, Resource Persons, | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| B1.2.3 | etc. | No. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 0.30 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 2.40 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Total | 7 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 18 | | 8.70 | 13.20 | 13.20 | 8.70 | 43.80 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Institutional | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B2 | Building and Capacity Building | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Block Management Unit Costs (all costs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | relating to Staffing | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B2.1 | BMMU) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Start up, including | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B2.1.1 | furniture, equipment etc. | No. | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0.093 | 12.21 | 12.21 | 12.21 | 12.20 | 48.83 |
| <i>D</i> 21111 | Staff Costs including | 1101 | 10 | Ü | Ü | Ü | 10 | 0.035 | 12.21 | 12.21 | 12.21 | 12.20 | 10.05 |
| | travel and related | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B2.1.2 | cost | No. | 206 | 206 | 206 | 206 | 206 | 0.21 | 119.49 | 119.49 | 119.48 | 119.48 | 477.94 |
| B2.1.3 | Other Operating Costs | No. | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 0.21 | 26.94 | 26.94 | 26.93 | 26.94 | 107.75 |
| | Staff / Resource | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B2.1.4 | person training | No. | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 17 | 0.15 | 1.35 | 1.80 | 2.25 | 2.25 | 7.65 |
| | Non intensive Block | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B2.1.5 | Cost (For NRLM Format only) | No. | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 0.93 | 40.05 | 40.05 | 40.05 | 40.05 | 160.20 |
| DZ.1.3 | roi illat olliyj | INO. | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.93 | 40.03 | 40.05 | 40.03 | 40.05 | 100.20 |
| | | Total | 299 | 255 | 256 | 256 | 313 | | 200.04 | 200.49 | 200.92 | 200.92 | 802.37 |
| | Social Mobilization | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D2 2 | and Community | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B2.2 | Institutions | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| B2.2.1 | Social Mobilization Costs including CRP | No. (ICRPs) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 400 | 0.005 | 17.50 | 17.50 | 17.50 | 17.50 | 70.00 |
|--------|--|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Rounds, PRP and SAP cost | No. (IPRPs) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 50 | 0.12 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 7.20 | 18.00 |
| B2.2.2 | ann n | No. | 0 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 50 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 | 52.00 |
| | CRP Development Costs (include active | No.(WA) | 200 | 200 | 200 | 300 | 900 | 0.063 | 8.16 | 8.16 | 8.15 | 12.23 | 36.70 |
| | women) | No. (MBKs) | 0 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 50 | 0.007 | 0.00 | 1.40 | 2.80 | 2.80 | 7.00 |
| | | No.(MTs) | 0 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 50 | 0.007 | 0.00 | 1.40 | 2.80 | 2.80 | 7.00 |
| B2.2.3 | SHG/VO/CLF Start- up Costs including IT equipment, tablets, | No. (SHGs) | 400 | 700 | 700 | 700 | 2500 | 0.015 | 6.00 | 10.50 | 10.50 | 10.50 | 37.50 |
| | etc. | No. (Vos) | 50 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 300 | 0.20 | 6.67 | 6.67 | 13.33 | 13.33 | 40.00 |
| B2.2.4 | SHG/VO/CLF Facilitation Costs | No.(SS) | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | 250 | 0.015 | 1.13 | 2.25 | 3.38 | 4.50 | 11.25 |
| | including Community | No. (BK) | 500 | 600 | 700 | 700 | 2500 | 0.0015 | 2.25 | 2.70 | 3.15 | 3.15 | 11.25 |
| | Professionals | No. (CT) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 40 | 0.04 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 4.80 |
| B2.2.5 | SHG/VO/CLF and their cadre Training and Capacity Building | No. (SHGs) | 1000 | 2000 | 2000 | 1000 | 6000 | 0.004 | 2.33 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 2.33 | 14.00 |
| | | No. (Vos) | 30 | 50 | 50 | 70 | 200 | 0.025 | 0.75 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.75 | 5.00 |
| | | No. (SHG leaders) | 500 | 800 | 800 | 900 | 3000 | 0.004 | 2.00 | 3.20 | 3.20 | 3.60 | 12.00 |
| | | No. (Vo leaders) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 400 | 0.009 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 3.60 |

| Non intensive Socialmobilisation Cost (For NRLM Format only) | No. (Vo sub comm. members) | 200 8 3133 | 200 | 500 | 600 | 1500 | 0.009 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 4.50 | 5.40 | 13.50 |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|---------------------|--|
| Socialmobilisation Cost (For NRLM | comm. members) | 8 | | 500 | 600 | 1500 | 0.009 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 4.50 | 5.40 | 13.50 |
| Socialmobilisation Cost (For NRLM | No. | 8 | | 500 | 600 | 1500 | 0.009 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 4.50 | 5.40 | 13.50 |
| Socialmobilisation Cost (For NRLM | | | 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| Format only) | | | 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Total | 2122 | Ů | 8 | 8 | 8 | 0.63 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 10.16 |
| | | 3133 | 4908 | 5413 | 4768 | 18198 | | 56.83 | 79.74 | 104.47 | 112.73 | 353.76 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Financial Inclusion Initiatives (relating to Bank linkage) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Electronic, Mobile Bookkeeping | No. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bank Mitra, Bima Mitra. etc. | No. | 0 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 50 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 3.60 | 7.20 | 7.20 | 18.00 |
| Financial Literacy and Credit | 1101 | | 10 | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 7.20 | 7.20 | 20,000 |
| Counseling | No. | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 0.45 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 4.50 |
| | Total | 2 | 12 | 23 | 23 | 60 | | 0.90 | 4.50 | 8.55 | 8.55 | 22.50 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Community Investment | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Electronic, Mobile Bookkeeping Bank Mitra, Bima Mitra, etc. Financial Literacy and Credit Counseling Community Investment | Financial Inclusion Initiatives (relating to Bank linkage) Electronic, Mobile Bookkeeping No. Bank Mitra, Bima Mitra, etc. No. Financial Literacy and Credit Counseling No. Total | Financial Inclusion Initiatives (relating to Bank linkage) Electronic, Mobile Bookkeeping No. Bank Mitra, Bima Mitra, etc. No. O Financial Literacy and Credit Counseling No. 2 Total Community Investment | Financial Inclusion Initiatives (relating to Bank linkage) Electronic, Mobile Bookkeeping No. Bank Mitra, Bima Mitra, etc. No. O 10 Financial Literacy and Credit Counseling No. 2 Total Community Investment | Financial Inclusion Initiatives (relating to Bank linkage) Electronic, Mobile Bookkeeping No. Bank Mitra, Bima Mitra, etc. No. O 10 20 Financial Literacy and Credit Counseling No. 2 3 Total Community Investment | Financial Inclusion Initiatives (relating to Bank linkage) Electronic, Mobile Bookkeeping No. Bank Mitra, Bima Mitra, etc. No. O 10 20 20 Financial Literacy and Credit Counseling No. 2 2 3 3 Community Investment | Financial Inclusion Initiatives (relating to Bank linkage) Electronic, Mobile Bookkeeping No. Bank Mitra, Bima Mitra, etc. No. O 10 20 20 50 Financial Literacy and Credit Counseling No. 2 2 3 3 10 Total 2 12 23 60 Community Investment | Financial Inclusion Initiatives (relating to Bank linkage) Electronic, Mobile Bookkeeping No. Bank Mitra, Bima Mitra, etc. No. 0 10 20 20 50 0.03 Financial Literacy and Credit Counseling No. 2 2 3 3 10 0.45 Total Community Investment | Financial Inclusion Initiatives (relating to Bank linkage) Electronic, Mobile Bookkeeping No. Bank Mitra, Bima Mitra, etc. No. 0 10 20 20 50 0.03 0.00 Financial Literacy and Credit Counseling No. 2 2 3 3 10 0.45 0.90 Total 2 12 23 23 60 0.90 Community Investment | Financial Inclusion Initiatives (relating to Bank linkage) No. Selectronic, Mobile Bookkeeping Selectronic, Mobile Bookkeeping No. Selectronic, Mobile Bookkeeping No. | Financial Inclusion | Financial Inclusion Initiatives (relating to Bank linkage) |

| | Community Investment | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|----------|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| B3.1 | Support | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B3.1.1 | Revolving Fund Grants to SHGs | No. | 500 | 600 | 1000 | 1400 | 3500 | 0.15 | 75.00 | 90.00 | 150.00 | 210.00 | 525.00 |
| B3.1.2 | CIF to CLFs/Vos | No.(Vos) | 0 | 50 | 50 | 100 | 200 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 75.00 | 75.00 | 150.00 | 300.00 |
| B3.1.3 | Food and Health Security and other Vulnerability Reduction Fund (V.R.F to Vos) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B3.1.4 | Non intensive RF(For NRLM Format only) | No. | 90 | 132 | 134 | 154 | 510 | 0.15 | 13.50 | 19.80 | 20.10 | 23.10 | 76.50 |
| | J, | Total | 590 | 782 | 1184 | 1654 | 4210 | | 88.50 | 184.80 | 245.10 | 383.10 | 901.50 |
| B3.2 | Livelihood Initiatives | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B3.2.1 | Facilitation of Producer Groups and Collectives | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B3.2.2 | Small Scale Productive and Value Addition Infrastructure | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B3.2.3 | Technical Assistance to Producer Groups and Collectives | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Special Programs (implementation partener at block | | | | | | |
|--------|--|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| B4 | level) | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| B4.1.1 | Partnership costs | | | | | | |
| B4.1.2 | Block Project Management Unit | | | | | | |
| B4.1.3 | Social Mobilization and Community Institutions | | | | | | |
| B4.1.4 | Financial Inclusion | | | | | | |
| B4.1.5 | Community Investment Support | | | | | | |
| B4.1.6 | livelihood cost | | | | | | |
| B4.2 | Other Special Initiatives | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| C.1 | Innovation Forums and Action Pilots | | | | | | |
| | Technical Support Agency and | | | | | | |
| C1.1 | Innovation Forums | | | | | | |
| C1.2 | Action Pilots (activities not under B.2) | | | | | | |

| I | |] | l I | 1 | 1 |] [| | | | | | | ļ l |
|---------|----------------------------------|-------|-----|---|---|-----|---|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Social | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Entrepreneurship | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C.2 | Development | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Knowledge Platform | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | on Social | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Entrepreneurship in | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C2.1 | Livelihoods | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Investment Support | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | for Social | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C2.2 | Entrepreneurs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Public Private | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C 2 | Community | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C.3 | Partnerships | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C3.1 | Service Provisioning | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Viability Gap | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C3.2 | Funding | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C | out D. Duringt | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ent D-Project ntation support | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| impieme | Monitoring & | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Evaluation and | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D.2 | Studies | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D2.1 | Baseline Surveys | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D2.2 | Process Monitoring | No. | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 |
| | Community | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Monitoring and | | _ | _ | | | _ | | | | | | |
| D2.3 | Studies | No. | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 8.00 |
| | | Total | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.00 | 14.00 | 28.00 |

| 1 | | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|-------|---|---|---|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D.3 | e. NRLM State and community level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D2.4 | Implementing Partners - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D3.1 | Consultancy fee etc. Computer Hardware and related | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D3.2 | infrastructure | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D.4 | Governance & Anti Corruption | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D4.1 | Grievance Handling, RTI, Disclosure, etc. | No. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.60 | 1.50 |
| D4.2 | Community led GAC Initiatives | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Total | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 10 | | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.60 | 1.50 |
| D.5 | Knowledge management & communication | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D5.1 | Agency Consultancy Fee | No. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 |
| | IEC - Printing, newspaper advert | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D5.2 | and Others | No. | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 6.00 |
| | | Total | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 14 | | 1.00 | 1.50 | 6.50 | 7.00 | 16.00 |

| Special Co | mponents under | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|----------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|--------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| | ucture & Marketing see IUFR S3A) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E.1 | Intensive (SARAS Fair) | No. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3.30 | 9.90 | 9.90 | 9.90 | 9.90 | 39.60 |
| E.2 | Non intensive | 110. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 3.30 | 7.70 | 7.70 | 7.70 | 7.70 | 37.00 |
| | | Total | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 9.90 | 9.90 | 9.90 | 9.90 | 39.60 |
| | Subvention and Non-Intensive | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F.1 | Category I Districts + 3 % Additional Interest | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F.2 | Category II Districts | No. | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 1.40 | 21.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 | 84.00 |
| | | Total | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 1.40 | 21.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 | 84.00 |
| | Sub tota | <u>l</u> | 4122 | 6102 | 6988 | 6808 | 22974 | | 505.51 | 788.715 | 884.29 | 1148.2 | 3326.73 |
| G. RSETIS S3A) | G. RSETIS (for details see IUFR | | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 2.20 | 21.20 | 21.20 | 21.20 | 21.20 | 84.80 |
| H. MKSP (1 S3A) | I. MKSP (for details see IUFR | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 22.70 | 65.80 | 65.80 | 65.80 | 65.80 | 263.20 |

| H.1 | Progress on projects not through AAP | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---|-----|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| H.2 | Progress on projects through AAP (SVEP) | No. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 34.25 | 43.50 | 43.50 | 43.50 | 43.50 | 174.00 |
| Н.3 | Progress on projects as Fund routing agency | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grand | Grand Total | | 4149 | 6129 | 7015 | 6835 | 23001 | | 594.075 | 746.215 | 835.045 | 973.405 | 3148.73 |

Table-13

NRLP Procurement Summary:----Not applicable

Table-14

NRLM Procurement Summary: HSRLM

| | | | | | | | Rs. Lakh |
|-----|----------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|---|-----------------|--------------------|
| Sl. | Category | Appro | oved for 2015-16 | Comple | Procurement ted/expected to be pleted by Jan. '16 | | an for 1016-17 |
| No. | Category | No. of Items | Amount Approved | No of Items | Amount Spent | No. of Items | Amount Required |
| 1. | Goods | 6 | 31.25 | - | 29.34 | - | 113 |
| 2. | Services | 1 | 10.00 | - | - | - | - |
| 3. | Works | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Total | 7 | 41.25 | - | 29.34 | | 113 |

Table-15

Plan for Procurement of Goods: FY 2016-17

| Sl. No | Contract Description (Goods/Items) | NRLM/NRLP | Estimated Cost (Rs Lakh) | Method of Procurement | Review by NMMU/World Bank (Prior or Post) | Specifications, Bid Document and Advert Finalised (Month) | Expected Contract Signing (Month) | Remarks |
|-----------|--|-----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|--|---------|
| A | Procurement Items Dropped (FY 2015-16) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| В. | Procurement Items Carried Forward from FY 2015-16 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | | - | • | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 3 | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4 | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5 | | | | | | | | |
| C. | New Procurement Items under FY 2016-17 | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Printing & Stationary items | NRLM | 40 | | | July, 15 | Based on quotations | |
| 7 | Fixture & furniture (non-IT based) | NRLM | 58 | | | July, 15 | Based on quotations | |
| 8 | Others – IT Based | NRLM | 15 | | | July, 15 | Based on quotations | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | |
| | Total Goods | | 113 | | | | | |

Table-16
Plan for Procurement of NRLM/NRLP Services: FY 2016-17

| Sl. No | Service Description | Thematic Area | Estimated Cost (Rs. Lakh) | Source of Funds | Method of Procurement | Review Category | Date of Issue of EoI | Expected Contract Date | Remarks |
|-----------|--|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| A | Procurement Items Dropped (FY 2015-16) | | | | | | | | |
| В. | Procurement Items Carried Forward from FY 2015-16 | | | | | | | | |
| С | New Procurement Items Proposed FY 2016-17 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Printing & stationary | NRLM | 40 | NRLM funds | Obtaining quotations | | July, 2016 | Based on quotations | |
| 2 | Fixture & furniture (Non-IT) | NRLM | 58 | NRLM funds | | | | | |
| 3 | Others (IT) | NRLM | 15 | NRLM funds | | | | | |
| 11 | Total Estimated Cost for All Service Contracts (In Rs Lakh) | SVEP MKSP | 421 360 | NRLM funds | | | | | |
| 12 | Total Estimated for All Goods Contracts (In Rs Lakh) | | 113 | | | | | | |
| 13 | Total Cost for All Procurements | | 894 | | | | | | |

Table-17

Progress made under Non-Intensive Strategy (Applicable States)---Not applicable

NRLM Results Framework
(Table to be compiled based on information to be received from states as part of AAP 2016-17)

| | Indicator | Indicator Value | Baselin e Value | | | ve Year Fargets | 2 | XIII Five | Year Pla | an Targe | ets | Frequenc | Data Source | Responsib ility for Data |
|-----------|------------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| S. No. | Area/ Compone nt | Expected at the end of 2022-23 | (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | 2016 -17 | 2017- 18 | 2018 -19 | 2019 -20 | 2020 -21 | 2021 -22 | 2022- | y of Assessm ent | / Method ology | Collection / Assessme nt |
| I. | Outcomes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Household Income | increase in HH income (in real terms) over baseline (over 10 years) | Baseline study | | 30% | Follow- up study I 40% | 50% | 60% | 70% | 80% | Follow- up study II 90% | Baseline and follow-up studies 100% | Third Party Sample Studies Particip atory | SRLMs for all NRLP states and NRLPS for others |
| 2. | Household Deprivatio n | 50% of increase in HH income (in real | | | 30% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 70% | 80% | 90% | 100% | Poverty Assess ment | |

| | Indicator | Indicator Value | Baselin e Value | | | ve Year Fargets | 2 | XIII Five | Year Pla | an Targe | ets | Frequenc | Data Source | Responsib ility for Data |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| S. No. | Area/ Compone nt | Expected at the end of 2022-23 | (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | 2016 -17 | 2017- 18 | 2018 -19 | 2019 -20 | 2020 -21 | 2021 | 2022- | y of Assessm ent | / Method ology | Collection / Assessme nt |
| | | terms) over baseline of HHs categorized underD-7 of SECC (over 10 years) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Household Asset Value | 40% increase in HH asset value over baseline (in real terms over 10 years) | | | 30% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 70% | 80% | 90% | Annual | | |
| 4. | Household Debt | SHG member household report 75% decline in HH debt | | | 50% | 75% | 90% | | | | | -do- | | |

| S. No. | Indicator Area/ Compone nt | Indicator Value Expected at the end of 2022-23 | Baselin e Value (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | | ve Year Fargets 2017- 18 | 2018 | 2019 -20 | Year Pla 2020 -21 | 2021 -22 | 2022- 23 | Frequenc y of Assessm ent | Data Source / Method ology | Responsib ility for Data Collection / Assessme nt |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-----|-----------------------------------|------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | | from informal sources over baseline (over 10 years) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Women Empower ment | At least 50% of women SHG members report greater control over income and assets created | | | 30% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 70% | 90% | | | | |

| | Indicator | Indicator Value | Baselin e Value | | | ve Year Fargets | | XIII Five | Year Pla | an Targe | ets | Frequenc | Data Source | Responsib ility for Data |
|-----------|----------------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| S. No. | Area/ Compone nt | Expected at the end of 2022-23 | (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | 2016 -17 | 2017- 18 | 2018 -19 | 2019 -20 | 2020 -21 | 2021 | 2022- | y of Assessm ent | / Method ology | Collection / Assessme nt |
| | | At least 50% women SHG members report increased involvement in HH decision-making processes | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Social Developme nt (FNHW) | At least 50% of SHG member HHs report a 50% reduction in private health expenditure | | | 10% | 20% | 30% | 40% | 50% | 70% | 90% | | | |
| 7. | Other state specific | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Indicator | Indicator Value | Baselin e Value | | | ve Year Fargets | | XIII Five | Year Pla | an Targe | ts | Frequenc | Data Source | Responsib ility for Data |
|-----------|----------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| S. No. | Area/ Compone nt | Expected at the end of 2022-23 | (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | 2016 -17 | 2017- 18 | 2018 -19 | 2019 -20 | 2020 -21 | 2021 -22 | 2022- | y of Assessm ent | / Method ology | Collection / Assessme nt |
| | Indicators (If any) | | | | | | | | | | | - | | |
| II. | Output/ Int | ermediate Res | ults | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Social Mobilizati on | 80% of eligible ¹ HHs mobilized into SHGs | | | 30% | 50% | 75% | 90% | | | | Quarterly | MIS | SRLMs |
| 2. | Social Inclusion | 50% of the total HHs mobilized belong to the SC, the ST and the Minority groups | | | 20% | 50% | 75% | 90% | | | | Monthly | MIS | SRLMs |
| | | 70% of eligible PwD and PVTG | | | 35% | 50% | 90% | | | | | | | |

¹ All households with one or more deprivations as per SECC.

| | Indicator | Indicator Value | Baselin e Value | | | ve Year Fargets | 2 | XIII Five | Year Pla | an Targe | ets | Frequenc | Data Source | Responsib ility for Data |
|-----------|---|--|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| S. No. | Area/ Compone nt | Expected at the end of 2022-23 | (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | 2016 -17 | 2017- 18 | 2018 -19 | 2019 -20 | 2020 -21 | 2021 -22 | 2022- 23 | y of Assessm ent | / Method ology | Collection / Assessme nt |
| | | HHs mobilized into SHGs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 20% of eligible elderly HHs are mobilized into elderly- SHGs | | | 20% | 30% | 50% | 75% | 90% | | | | | |
| 3. | Building Institution s of the Poor | 70 lakh SHGs promoted/b rought into NRLM fold | | | - | - | - | - | - | | | Monthly | MIS | SRLMs |
| | | 80% of promoted SHGs adhere to | | | 70% | 80% | 90% | 95% | | | | | | |

| | Indicator | Indicator Value | Baselin e Value | | | ve Year Fargets | 2 | XIII Five | Year Pla | an Targe | ets | Frequenc | Data Source | Responsib ility for Data |
|-----------|------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| S. No. | Area/ Compone nt | Expected at the end of 2022-23 | (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | 2016 -17 | 2017- | 2018 -19 | 2019 -20 | 2020 -21 | 2021 -22 | 2022- | y of Assessm ent | / Method ology | Collection / Assessme nt |
| | | Panchsutras | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 4 lakh Primary level federations promoted | | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | |
| | | 80% of SHGs promoted brought into the fold of primary level federations | | | 20% | 40% | 60% | 80% | 90% | | | | | |
| | | 80% of primary level federations meet quality standards | | | 20% | 40% | 60% | 80% | 90% | | | | | |

| | Indicator | Indicator Value | Baselin e Value | | | ve Year Fargets | | XIII Five | Year Pla | an Targe | ts | Frequenc | Data Source | Responsib ility for Data |
|-----------|------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| S. No. | Area/ Compone nt | Expected at the end of 2022-23 | (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | 2016 -17 | 2017- | 2018 -19 | 2019 -20 | 2020 -21 | 2021 -22 | 2022- | y of Assessm ent | / Method ology | Collection / Assessme nt |
| | | 50,000 ² CLFs promoted and made functional | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 80% of primary federations brought into CLFs | | | 20% | 40% | 60% | 80% | 90% | | | | | |
| | | 80% of CLFs meet quality standards | | | 20% | 40% | 60% | 80% | 90% | | | | | |
| | | 70% of CLFs promoted meet their operational cost from | | | 10% | 20% | 50% | 75% | 90% | | | | | |

² At the average rate of 10-12 VOs per CLF.

| | Indicator | Indicator Value | Baselin e Value | | | ve Year Targets | | XIII Five | Year Pla | an Targe | ets | Frequenc | Data Source | Responsib ility for Data |
|-----------|------------------------|--|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| S. No. | Area/ Compone nt | Expected at the end of 2022-23 | (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | 2016 -17 | 2017- | 2018 -19 | 2019 -20 | 2020 -21 | 2021 -22 | 2022- | y of Assessm ent | / Method ology | Collection / Assessme nt |
| | | own income | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Social Capital | At least 10 trained CRPs/ other cadres (excluding bookkeepers) available per GP for servicing the community | | | 10% | 20% | 30% | 50% | 90% | | | Monthly | MIS | SRLMs |
| 5. | Financial Inclusion | Each SHG accumulates a minimum saving of Rs.4,800/- per year (and about Rs.48,000/- over 10 years) (i.e., | | | 75% | 90% | 100% | | | | | Monthly | MIS | SRLMs |

| | Indicator | Indicator Value | Baselin e Value | | | ve Year Fargets |) | XIII Five | Year Pla | an Targe | ets | Frequenc | Data Source | Responsib ility for Data |
|-----------|------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|-------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| S. No. | Area/ Compone nt | Expected at the end of 2022-23 | (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | 2016 -17 | 2017- | 2018 -19 | 2019 -20 | 2020 -21 | 2021 | 2022- | y of Assessm ent | / Method ology | Collection / Assessme nt |
| | | about Rs.33,600 crores for 70 lakh SHGs over 10 years) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 75% of SHGs capitalized through CIF and RF | | | 30% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 70% | 80% | 90% | | | |
| | | 70% of SHGs access bank credit of at least Rs. 4 lakh over a period of 10 years | | | 10% | 20% | 50% | 75% | 85% | 95% | 100% | | | |
| | | 50% of SHGs that have accessed | | | 10% | 20% | 30% | 40% | 60% | 80% | 95% | | | |

| | Indicator | Indicator Value | Baselin e Value | | | ve Year Fargets | | XIII Five | Year Pla | an Targe | ets | Frequenc | Data Source | Responsib ility for Data |
|-----------|------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| S. No. | Area/ Compone nt | Expected at the end of 2022-23 | (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | 2016 -17 | 2017- 18 | 2018 -19 | 2019 -20 | 2020 -21 | 2021 -22 | 2022- | y of Assessm ent | / Method ology | Collection / Assessme nt |
| | | bank credit also access interest subvention | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 50% of SHG members covered under insurance schemes | | | 20% | 30% | 50% | 75% | 90% | 100% | | | | |
| 6. | Livelihood s | 25% of total Mission villages³ have functional livelihood collectives/ organization s (each | | | 10% | 20% | 30% | 35% | 40% | 45% | 50% | | | |

³Where VOswere formed by 2015

| | Indicator | Indicator Value | Baselin e Value | | | ve Year Fargets | 2 | XIII Five | Year Pla | an Targe | ets | Frequenc | Data Source | Responsib ility for Data |
|-----------|------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|----------|-------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| S. No. | Area/ Compone nt | Expected at the end of 2022-23 | (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | 2016 -17 | 2017- | 2018 -19 | 2019 -20 | 2020 -21 | 2021 -22 | 2022- | y of Assessm ent | / Method ology | Collection / Assessme nt |
| | | collective covering more than one village) | | 29 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 50% of Mission villages ⁴ have at least 2 trained livelihood professional s providing services | | | 20% | 30% | 40% | 50% | 75% | 100% | | Monthly | MIS | SRLMs |
| | | 50% of SHG member HHs take up 2 or more sustainable livelihood | | | 10% | 20% | 25% | 40% | 60% | 75% | 90% | | | |

⁴Where VOswere formed by 2015

| | Indicator | Indicator Value | Baselin e Value | | | ve Year Targets | 2 | XIII Five | Year Pla | an Targe | ets | Frequenc | Data Source | Responsib ility for Data |
|-----------|------------------------|--|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| S. No. | Area/ Compone nt | Expected at the end of 2022-23 | (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | 2016 -17 | 2017- 18 | 2018 -19 | 2019 -20 | 2020 -21 | 2021 | 2022- 23 | y of Assessm ent | / Method ology | Collection / Assessme nt |
| | | activities (including pre-existing) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 50% of trained Mahila Kisans adopt sustainable practices in agriculture/ livestock/ NTFP | | | 20% | 40% | 60% | 80% | 100% | | | | | |
| | | 1.8 lakh enterprises set up under SVEP over a period of five years | | | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | |
| | | 35 % of total RSETI | | | 10% | 20% | 30% | 50% | 75% | 100% | | | | |

| | Indicator | Indicator Value | Baselin e Value | | | ve Year Fargets | | XIII Five | Year Pla | an Targe | ets | Frequenc | Data Source | Responsib ility for Data |
|-----------|------------------------|--|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| S. No. | Area/ Compone nt | Expected at the end of 2022-23 | (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | 2016 -17 | 2017- 18 | 2018 -19 | 2019 -20 | 2020 -21 | 2021 -22 | 2022- | y of Assessm ent | / Method ology | Collection / Assessme nt |
| | | trainees provided bank credit | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 70% of total RSETI trainees undertake self- employment activities after training | | | 40% | 50% | 60% | 70% | 80% | 90% | | | | |
| | | (with or without bank credit) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. | Entitlemen ts | 50% of SHG member HHs enabled access to minimum basket of | | | 20% | 30% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 75% | | Monthly/ Quarterly | MIS | SRLMs |

| | Indicator | Indicator Value | Baselin e Value | | | ve Year Fargets | 2 | XIII Five | Year Pla | an Targe | ts | Frequenc | Data Source | Responsib ility for Data |
|-----------|---------------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| S. No. | Area/ Compone nt | Expected at the end of 2022-23 | (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | 2016 -17 | 2017- | 2018 -19 | 2019 -20 | 2020 -21 | 2021 -22 | 2022- | y of Assessm ent | / Method ology | Collection / Assessme nt |
| | | entitlements / public services ⁵ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8. | Convergen | 50% of eligible SHG member HHs provided support under IPPE/ convergent livelihoods ⁶ | | | 10% | 20% | 40% | 60% | 75% | 90% | | Monthly/ Quarterly | MIS | SRLMs |
| 9. | Social Developm ent (H-N) | 80% of primary federations have trained | | | 10% | 20% | 30% | 40% | 50% | 60% | | Quarterly | MIS Reports of SRLMs | Block Level Teams |

⁵ Minimum basket of 2-3 entitlements/public services including MGNREGA, AAY/PDS, IAY/housing, NSAP, School Mid-day Meals/ICDS, IHHL, drinking water, JSY etc., depending on the eligibility of the households. ⁶MGNREGS/NSAP/IAY/SBM.

| | Indicator | Indicator Value | Baselin e Value | | | ve Year Fargets | | XIII Five | Year Pla | an Targe | ets | Frequenc | Data Source | Responsib ility for Data |
|-----------|----------------------------|--|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| S. No. | Area/ Compone nt | Expected at the end of 2022-23 | (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | 2016 -17 | 2017- | 2018 -19 | 2019 -20 | 2020 -21 | 2021 -22 | 2022- | y of Assessm ent | / Method ology | Collection / Assessme nt |
| | | Health Activists ⁷ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 50% of primary federations initiate FNHW intervention s ⁸ | | | 10% | 20% | 30% | 40% | 50% | 60% | | Quarterly | MIS Reports of SRLMs | Block Level Teams |
| 10. | Pilots/ Innovation s | No. of pilots successfully completed | | | - | - | - | - | - | | | Monthly | MIS | SRLMs |
| 11. | Systems | 80% of BMMUs communitiz ed (managed by | | | 20% | 30% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 80% | 90% | Monthly/ Quarterly | MIS | SRLMs |

⁷ In applicable geographies ⁸ In applicable geographies

| | Indicator | Indicator Value | Baselin e Value | | | ve Year Targets | | XIII Five | Year Pla | an Targe | ets | Frequenc | Data Source | Responsib ility for Data |
|-----------|------------------------|--|--------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|----------|-------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| S. No. | Area/ Compone nt | Expected at the end of 2022-23 | (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | 2016 -17 | 2017- | 2018 -19 | 2019 -20 | 2020 -21 | 2021 -22 | 2022- | y of Assessm ent | / Method ology | Collection / Assessme nt |
| | | community institutions) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | No. of intensive blocks use transaction based MIS | | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | |
| | | No. of CLFs linked to transaction based MIS | | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | |
| | | No. of VOs linked to transaction based MIS | | | 10% | 20% | 50% | 90% | | | | | | |
| | | No. of SHGs linked to transaction based MIS | | | 10% | 20% | 50% | 90% | | | | | | |

| | Indicator | Indicator Value | Baselin e Value | | | ve Year Targets | | XIII Five | Year Pla | an Targe | ets | Frequenc | Data Source | Responsib ility for Data |
|-----------|------------------------|--|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|----------|-------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| S. No. | Area/ Compone nt | Expected at the end of 2022-23 | (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | 2016 -17 | 2017- 18 | 2018 -19 | 2019 -20 | 2020 -21 | 2021 -22 | 2022- 23 | y of Assessm ent | / Method ology | Collection / Assessme nt |
| | | No. of intensive districts generating all financial reports through MIS/ FMS | | | 10% | 20% | 21% | 21% | | | | | | |
| | | No. of intensive blocks generating all financial reports through MIS/ FMS | | 22% | 47% | 87% | 126% | - | - | - | - | | | |
| | | All SRLMs complete baseline and follow-up | | Baseline survey completed | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Indicator | Indicator Value | Baselin e Value | | | ve Year Fargets | 2 | XIII Five | Year Pla | an Targe | ets | Frequenc | Data Source | Responsib ility for Data |
|-----------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| S. No. | Area/ Compone nt | Expected at the end of 2022-23 | (2012- 13) | Achievement 2015-16 | 2016 -17 | 2017- 18 | 2018 -19 | 2019 -20 | 2020 -21 | 2021 -22 | 2022- | y of Assessm ent | / Method ology | Collection / Assessme nt |
| | | assessments | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Note: 1. The percentage targets in the indicator column are based on the Theory of change underlying NRLM design and partly judgmental and could be changed as the Mission progresses. However, the initial targets are based on the experience of states such as Andhra Pradesh, Telangana, Tamil Nadu, Bihar and Odisha as well as the theory of change implicit in NRLM design.

^{2.} The states could add additional outcome or output indicators and provide necessary information for the additional indicators.

^{3. *}could include entitlement to PDS, NREGS, pensions and any other.

Table-19

Information Required for RFP from NRLM States (To be submitted along with AAP 2016-17)

Unit: Numbers

| S. | Indicator Area/ | Indicator | Baseline Value | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 (Expected | | e Year argets | | XIII Fiv | e Year Plai | n Targets | |
|-----|------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------|------------|----------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| No. | Component | | (2012- 13) | (Actuals) | (Actuals) | up to Mar'16) | 2016- 17 | 2017- 18 | 2018- 19 | 2019- 20 | 2020- 21 | 2021- | 2022- |
| 1. | | | Footprint | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Coverage | No. of intensive districts | | 4 | 5 | 1 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | 21 |
| | | No. of intensive blocks | | 4 | 17 | 1 | 25 | 40 | 39 | - | - | - | 126 |
| | | No. of GPs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | No. of villages | | 124 | 864 | 130 | 1500 | 1800 | 2000 | 423 | - | - | 6841 |
| 2. | | | Output/ I | ntermedia | te Results | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Social Mobilization | No. of eligible HHs to be mobilized ⁹ | | 3000 | 13500 | 37500 | 32000 | 80000 | 10000 | 1.50 Lac | 2.5 Lac | 3.50 | 10 Lac |
| | | No. of HHs actually mobilized into | | | 34500 | 44000 | 69000 | 1 lac | 1.40 | 2.40 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 10 lacs |

⁹ All households with one or more deprivations under SECC are potentially eligible for mobilization, in addition to the poor households that have come into being after SECC.

| S. | Indicator Area/ | Indicator | Baseline Value | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 (Expected | | e Year argets | | XIII Fiv | e Year Pla | n Targets | |
|-----|---------------------|--|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----------|--------------|
| No. | Component | - marcutor | (2012- 13) | (Actuals) | (Actuals) | up to Mar'16) | 2016- 17 | 2017- 18 | 2018- 19 | 2019- | 2020- 21 | 2021- | 2022- |
| | | SHGs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Social Inclusion | No. of SC and ST HHs mobilized into SHGs | | 1000 | 5000 | 15000 | 30000 | 40000 | 50000 | 1.00 | 1.20 | 1.06 | 4.67 lacs |
| | | No. of Minority HHs mobilized into SHGs | | 1000 | 5000 | 15000 | 30000 | 40000 | 50000 | 10000 | 12000 | 10000 | 60000 |
| | | No. of PwDs and PVTGs mobilized into SHGs | | | 178 | 87 | 150 | 250 | 300 | 300 | 350 | 350 | |
| | | No. of potential elderly HH members to be mobilized | | | - | - | 25 | 35 | 40 | 50 | 50 | 50 | |
| | | No. of Eligible elderly HHs mobilized into Elderly-SHGs | | | - | - | 25 | 35 | 40 | 50 | 50 | 50 | |

| S. | Indicator Area/ | Indicator | Baseline Value | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 (Expected | | ve Year Targets | | XIII Fiv | e Year Pla | n Targets | |
|-----|---|--|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|------------|-----------|-------|
| No. | Component | | (2012- 13) | (Actuals) | (Actuals) | up to Mar'16) | 2016- 17 | 2017- 18 | 2018- 19 | 2019- | 2020- | 2021- | 2022- |
| 3. | Building Institutions of the Poor | Total No. of SHGs under NRLM | | | 2830 | 950 | 5000 | 6000 | 7500 | 7500 | 8000 | 8500 | |
| | | No. of SHGs adhering to Panchsutras | | | 2830 | 950 | 4800 | 5700 | 7100 | 7100 | 7500 | 7500 | |
| | | No. of Primary federations functioning | | | 122 | 75 | 200 | 250 | 300 | 300 | 325 | 325 | |
| | | No. of SHGs federated into Primary federations | | | 1100 | 700 | 2200 | 2700 | 3100 | 3100 | 3500 | 3500 | |
| | | No. of Primary level federations meeting quality standards ¹⁰ | | | 120 | 70 | 180 | 240 | 285 | 285 | 310 | 310 | |
| | | No. of CLFs | | | 4 | 2 | 20 | 20 | 25 | 25 | 30 | 30 | 150 |

¹⁰ Quality standards to be communicated by IB-CB team.

| S. | Indicator Area/ | Indicator | Baseline Value | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 (Expected | | e Year Cargets | | XIII Fiv | e Year Pla | n Targets | |
|-----|--------------------|---|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| No. | Component | indicate) | (2012- 13) | (Actuals) | (Actuals) | up to Mar'16) | 2016- 17 | 2017- 18 | 2018- 19 | 2019- | 2020- 21 | 2021- | 2022- |
| | | functioning | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | No. of Primary level federations brought under CLFs | - | - | 45 | 25 | 220 | 220 | 250 | 250 | 330 | 330 | - |
| | | No. of CLFs meeting quality standards ¹¹ | | | 3 | 2 | 16 | 16 | 22 | 22 | 26 | 26 | - |
| | | No. of CLFs whose annual operational cost is more than their annual income | | | 3 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 14 | |
| 4. | Social Capital | Total No. of CRPs/other cadres (excluding bookkeepers) | | | 198 | 122 | 500 | 800 | 800 | 900 | 700 | 700 | |

¹¹ Quality standards to be communicated by IB-CB team.

| S. | Indicator Area/ | Indicator | Baseline Value | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 (Expected | | ve Year Targets | | XIII Fiv | e Year Pla | n Targets | |
|-----|------------------------|---|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| No. | Component | marcacor | (2012- 13) | (Actuals) | (Actuals) | up to Mar'16) | 2016- 17 | 2017- 18 | 2018- 19 | 2019- | 2020- 21 | 2021- | 2022- |
| | | deployed after training | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Financial Inclusion | No. of SHGs provided RF | | | 1738 | 750 | 3000 | 3500 | 3800 | 4000 | 4000 | 5000 | |
| | | Amount of RF provided | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | No. of VOs provided CIF | | | 72 | 20 | 200 | 250 | 350 | 400 | 400 | 500 | |
| | | Amount of CIF provided to VOs | | | 317 | 77 | 800 | 1000 | 1400 | 1600 | 1600 | 2000 | |
| | | No. of SHGs provided CIF | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Amount of CIF disbursed to SHGs | | | 299 | 250 | 600 | 900 | 1000 | 1200 | 1450 | 1800 | |
| | | No. of SHGs credit linked during the year | | | | 2200 | 3500 | 6000 | 7000 | 8000 | 8000 | 8000 | |

| S. | Indicator Area/ | Indicator | Baseline Value | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 (Expected | | e Year Cargets | | XIII Fiv | e Year Pla | n Targets | |
|-----|--------------------|---|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| No. | Component | 224104104 | (2012- 13) | (Actuals) | (Actuals) | up to Mar'16) | 2016- 17 | 2017- 18 | 2018- 19 | 2019- | 2020- 21 | 2021- | 2022- |
| | | Amount of credit accessed during the year | | | | 1800 | 2500 | 5000 | 7000 | 9000 | 10000 | 12500 | |
| | | Total No. of SHGs credit linked up to the year | | | | 4000 | 7500 | 13500 | 20500 | 28500 | 36500 | 44500 | |
| | | Amount of credit accessed by SHGs since coming into NRLM fold | | | | 2500 | 5000 | 10000 | 17000 | 26000 | 36000 | 48500 | |
| | | No. of SHGs that have accessed interest subvention during the year | | | | 250 | 1000 | 4000 | 6000 | 8000 | 11250 | 12500 | |
| | | Amount of interest subvention accessed during | | | | 10 | 80 | 220 | 400 | 800 | 1000 | 1250 | |

| S. | Indicator Area/ | Indicator | Baseline Value | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 (Expected | | e Year argets | | XIII Fiv | e Year Pla | n Targets | |
|-----|--------------------|--|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| No. | Component | | (2012- 13) | (Actuals) | (Actuals) | up to Mar'16) | 2016- 17 | 2017- 18 | 2018- 19 | 2019- 20 | 2020- 21 | 2021- | 2022- |
| | | the year | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Total No. of SHGs that have accessed interest subvention | | | | 250 | 1250 | 5250 | 11250 | 19250 | 30500 | 42500 | |
| | | No. of SHG members covered under insurance | | | | 25000 | 50000 | 75000 | 1 lac | 1.50 lac | 1.50 | 1.75 | |
| 6. | Livelihoods | No. of villages with at least one functional livelihood collective ¹² | | | | - | 10 | 25 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 50 | |
| | | No. of trained livelihood resource persons working | | | | - | 50 | 150 | 300 | 500 | 750 | 900 | |

¹² In respect of villages where VO formation was completed by 2015.

| S. | Indicator Area/ | Indicator | Baseline Value | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 (Expected | | ve Year Targets | | XIII Fiv | e Year Plai | n Targets | |
|-----|--------------------|---|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| No. | Component | | (2012- 13) | (Actuals) | (Actuals) | up to Mar'16) | 2016- 17 | 2017- 18 | 2018- 19 | 2019- | 2020- 21 | 2021- 22 | 2022- |
| | | No. of villages with at least 2 trained livelihood resource persons ¹³ | | | | - | 25 | 75 | 150 | 250 | 350 | 450 | |
| | | No. of SHG member HHs taking up 2 or more additional livelihood activities ¹⁴ | | | | - | 5000 | 10000 | 25000 | | 150000 | 250000 | |
| | | No. of trained Mahila Kisans taking up sustainable livelihood activities | | | - | 750 | 3750 | 7500 | 10500 | 15000 | 20000 | 100000 | |
| | | No. of enterprises set | | | | - | 500 | 1000 | 1500 | 2000 | 2500 | 3000 | |

¹³ In respect of villages where VOs were formed by 2015 ¹⁴ Including the pre-existing livelihoods

| S. | Indicator Area/ | Indicator | Baseline Value | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 (Expected | | e Year argets | | XIII Fiv | e Year Plai | n Targets | |
|-----|--------------------|--|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| No. | Component | 22220000 | (2012- 13) | (Actuals) | (Actuals) | up to Mar'16) | 2016- 17 | 2017- 18 | 2018- 19 | 2019- 20 | 2020- 21 | 2021- | 2022- |
| | | up under SVEP | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| | | No. of youth trained in RSETIs | | | | 65000 | 75000 | 75000 | 75000 | 75000 | 75000 | 75000 | |
| | | No. of RSETI trainees provided bank credit for micro- enterprises/ self- employment | | | | 8700 | 9000 | 10000 | 12000 | 16000 | 18000 | 20000 | |
| | | Total No. of youth taking up self-employment under RSETI (with or without bank credit) | | | | 25000 | 35000 | 40000 | 45000 | 50000 | 60000 | 60000 | |
| 7. | Entitlements | No. of HHs enabled access | | | | | 5000 | 10000 | 25000 | 60000 | 150000 | 250000 | |

| S. | Indicator Area/ | Indicator | Baseline Value | 2013-14 (Actuals) | 2014-15 | 2015-16 (Expected | | ve Year Fargets | | XIII Fiv | e Year Plai | n Targets | |
|-----|--|--|-------------------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| No. | Component | | (2012- 13) | (Actuals) | (Actuals) | up to Mar'16) | 2016- 17 | 2017- 18 | 2018- 19 | 2019- | 2020- 21 | 2021- | 2022- |
| | | to minimum basket of entitlements/ public services | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8. | Convergence | No. of HHs provided support under convergent plans (MGNREGS, NSAP, IAY and SBM) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. | Social Development (relevant geography only) | No. of Primary Federations that have trained Health Activists | - | - | - | 25 | 100 | 125 | 150 | 150 | 200 | 200 | |
| | | No. of Primary Federations | | | | 25 | 100 | 125 | 150 | 150 | 200 | 200 | |

¹⁵ Minimum basket of 2-3 entitlements/public services including MGNREGA, AAY/PDS, IAY/housing, NSAP, School Mid-day Meals/ICDS, IHHL, drinking water, JSY *etc.*, depending on the eligibility of the households.

| S. | Indicator Area/ | Indicator | Baseline Value | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 (Expected | | e Year Cargets | | XIII Fiv | e Year Pla | n Targets | |
|-----|------------------------|---|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| No. | Component | | (2012- 13) | (Actuals) | (Actuals) | up to Mar'16) | 2016- 17 | 2017- 18 | 2018- 19 | 2019- | 2020- 21 | 2021- | 2022- |
| | | that have initiated FNHW Interventions | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10. | Pilots/ Innovations | No. of pilots/ innovations successfully completed | | | | 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | |
| 11 | Systems | No. of BMMUs | | 4 | 17 | 1 | 25 | 40 | 39 | - | - | - | - |
| | | No. of BMMUs communitized ¹⁶ | | - | 21 | 1 | 25 | 40 | 39 | - | - | - | - |
| | | No. of intensive blocks with transaction based MIS | | - | - | - | 47 | 40 | 39 | - | - | - | - |
| | | No. of CLFs linked to transaction based MIS | | - | - | - | 20 | 17 | 22 | 22 | 26 | 26 | |

_

 $^{^{\}rm 16}$ Entire management is done by the federations of the community institutions

| S. | Indicator Area/ | Indicator | Baseline Value | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 (Expected | | ve Year Targets | | XIII Fiv | e Year Pla | n Targets | |
|-----|--------------------|---|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|------------|-----------|-------|
| No. | Component | | (2012- 13) | (Actuals) | (Actuals) | up to Mar'16) | 2016- 17 | 2017- 18 | 2018- 19 | 2019- | 2020- | 2021- | 2022- |
| | | No. of VOs linked to transaction based MIS | | | - | - | 250 | 300 | 300 | 325 | 325 | 525 | |
| | | No. of SHGs linked to transaction based MIS | | | - | - | 2500 | 3000 | 3000 | 3250 | 3250 | 5250 | |
| | | No. of SRLMs completing baseline/ follow-up studies | | | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | |

Notes: 1. * No. of rural poor households to be mobilized in each state could be taken from SECC. All households with one or more deprivations are potentially eligible for mobilization.

^{2.} Vulnerable households include SECC automatically included households, households headed by single women, trafficked survivors, legally released labourers, PwDs and the elderly.

^{2.} Based on progress made in a given year, the targets could be revised in the AAP of the following year.

Table 20 HR checklist

| S.No. | HR Management Status/PROCESSES in place | Remarks |
|-------|--|------------------------------------|
| 1. | Has the HR Manual been adapted, approved by EC and implemented across the SRLM? | Yes |
| 2. | As per ASH Policy, has the ICC (Internal Complaints Committee) been constituted? | Yes |
| 3. | Is there GRM in place for the Staff? Has the GRC (Grievance Redressal Cell) been constituted for redressal of grievances? | Proposed to be in place in 2016-17 |
| 4. | Is there GRM in place for the Community? Has the process as well as the members' names been shared with the Community? | -do- |
| 5. | Do all positions in SRLM have JDs? Has PMS been rolled out in your State? | Not yet |
| 6. | Fieldwork, Field stay and Area Anchoring by HR at various levels | Not specified |
| 7. | Are Hygiene factors being taken care of as per laid down timelines? | Not specified |
| 8. | Have all staff undergone Induction and Immersion programmes as per stated modules? | Yes |
| 9. | HR Structure/Organograms at Block, District and State level | Prescribed in the HR manual |
| 10. | STAFF DATA | |

| a) How many staff are required by the SRLM (State/District/ | 305 |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Block levels) as per Normative Structures / Communitization | |
| b) How many staff are in place? | 154 |
| c) Is there a plan for redeployment of excess staff / recruitment against vacancies | Yes being worked out |
| d) Any progress/plans for staffing with Community Professionals? | Proposed to be takn up in 2016-17. |

Table-21

Details of Livelihoods Projects/Interventions in SRLMs

| S. No. | Name of project | Short details of project | Date of commencement | Project implementation partner | Prg under which project is funded | Is the prg completed or in progress | No of blocks with on-going implementation | No. of villages where implementation coverage | No of SHGs covered | No of beneficiaries | Cost of project | Cost per beneficiary | Average increase in income per beneficiary |
|--------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---|
| 1 | DDU- | Skill | 2015- | 11 | | In | Nearly | 4000 | NA | 39330 | Rs.133.45 | Approx. | Nearly |
| | GKY | development | 16 | different | | progress | 85 | villages | | | cr | Rs.34000/- | Rs.1,20,000/- |
| | | projects for | | PIAs as | | | blocks | | | | | | p.a. |
| | | educated un- | | approved | | | | | | | | | |
| | | employed youth | | by MoRD | | | | | | | | | |
| | | and their | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | placement in | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | organized | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | sector. | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table-22

Details of the Focused Livelihoods Interventions Planned: 2016-17

| S. No. | Type of Intervention Planned | Number of Blocks | Number of Villages | No. of SHGs (if relevant) | No. of HH Beneficiaries | No. of Individual Beneficiaries | No. of HH Beneficiaries with Multiple Interventions | Total Budget Estimate |
|-----------|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| 1. | Farm | 4 | 90 | NR | 4600 | 4600 | 2300 | |
| 2. | Livestock | 4 | 90 | NR | 4600 | 4600 | 2000 | |
| 3. | NTFP | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 4. | Non-Farm | 2 | 62 | NR | 1000 | 1000 | 300 | |
| 5. | Convergence | 47 | 1150 | NR | 55000 | 55000 | 20000 | |

Table-23
Source of Finance for the Focused Livelihoods Interventions Planned: 2016-17

| S. No. | Type of Intervention Planned | MKSP | SVEP | Special Funds NRLP | NRLM Allocation Budget |
|-----------|---------------------------------|--------|--------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. | Farm | 363.20 | - | - | 363.20 |
| 2. | Livestock | - | - | - | - |
| 3. | NTFP | - | - | - | - |
| 4. | Non-Farm | - | 274.00 | - | 274.00 |
| 5. | Convergence | - | - | - | - |

Table-24
Activity-wise Manpower and Other Requirements Planned: 2016-17

| S. No. | Type of Intervention Planned | No. of Manpower at State/ District Level | No. of Manpower at Block Level | No. of Man Days of Training (CB) | No. of VOs Provided Separate CIF for Livelihoods | Community/ Group Assets Planned to be Created |
|-----------|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| 1. | Farm | 4* | 4 | 350 | - | 10 |
| 2. | Livestock | - | 4 | 200 | 4 | 4 |
| 3. | NTFP | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4. | Non-Farm | 1** | 2 | 1000 | - | - |
| 5. | Convergence | - | 47 | 2000 | - | - |
| 6. | Total | 5 | 57 | 3550 | 4 | 14 |

^{*} DMMU

Table-25
Budget Estimates for Focused Livelihoods Interventions: 2016-17---Not Applicable

Table-26 Plans for CMSA – Livelihood Activities---Not Applicable

^{**} SMMU